ICT Training for LIS Professionals Working in Engineering Institutions in Chennai: A Study

K. S. Sivakumaren

Assistant University Librarian

Library MIT Campus, Anna University,

Chennai-600 044

Tamil Nadu, India

siva_kumaren@yahoo.co.in

B. Jeyaprakash

Assistant Librarian

Bharathidasan University, Trichy-24

Tamil Nadu,India

bjeyapragash@rediffmail.com

Dr. V. Geetha

Associate Professor,

PG & Research Dept of Library and

Information Science, Bishop

Heber College, Trichy-620 017, Tamil

Nadu, India

manogeeth@yahoo.com

Dr. S. Gopalakrishnan

Assistant University Librarian

Library, MIT Campus, Anna University

Chennai-600 044. Tamil Nadu, India.

gopallong@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper examines the ICT training methods, level of training, and preferred time for the training. The study was carried out among LIS professionals in Engineering Institutions in and around Chennai. The questionnaire method was used to collect the data from LIS professionals working in the above educational institutions. It is found that majority of the respondents were interested to attend lectures series, tours to other institutions and web-based tutorials to develop knowledge and skills in Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs). The respondents were preferred to attend workshops, conference/seminars in updating their knowledge and skills on ICT. It further shows that majority of respondents were interested to attend ICT training programmes after working hours.

Keywords: ICT, ICT training, LIS professionals, Anna University and Engineering

Colleges

1. Introduction

The 21st Century has clearly shown that information unforeseen opportunities that enable multifaceted growth and development. Nobody can deny that the deployment of information technologies have profoundly altered not only the way we live and work but also reading fundamentally altering and redefining outlook about information and its modes of dissemination. Information and Communication Technology (ICT) is an umbrella term that includes all technologies for the manipulation and communication of information. Further, ICT described as the use of computer-based technology and the internet information to make and communication services available to wide range of users.8

2. Information and Communication Technology (ICT)

Information and Communication Technology (ICT) refers to technology that transmits, stores, creates, displays, shares, or exchanges information by electronic means. The libraries and librarians must adopt ICT to be a successful part of the information environment.⁹

Information and Communication Technology (ICT) is a diverse of technogical tools and resources used to communicate and to create, disseminate, store and manage information.

Hamelink defines as ICTs are those technologies that enable the handling of information and facilitate different forms of communication. These include capturing technologies (e.g.camcoders), storage technologies (e.g.-ROMs), processing technologies (e.g. application software), communication technologies (e.g. LAN), technologies display computer (e.g. monitors).¹⁰

3. Review of Literature

Dodd et al. (2002) 1 stated that all library staff should be attained training in the basic and application concepts of ICT for everyday library works. Ondari-Okemwa (2002), Garrod(2001) and McNicol (2002) ²⁻ ⁴ all highlighted the need for library staff to have continued training in order to best serve users and fully integrate ICTs into the everyday routine of library works and also to use their new ICT skills for library services. Spacey (2003)⁵ stated that online training in the public library could continue to grow in popularity. Spacey et al., (2003)⁶ highlighted that each individual staff may have different preferences for training methods and these preferences should be considered in the ICT training. Objemu and Ibegwan(2006) ⁷ suggested that the library

staff should be encouraged to attend workshops/seminars to learn new trends in ICTs and use of their applications for library services.

Ramzan(2002)¹¹suggested that attainment of theoretical and practical IT knowledge should be a component of library education in Pakistan. Ameen(2006)¹² stated that there should be better communication and sharing of knowledge among LIS professionals by using ICTs to meet the challenge of developing competencies needed for the digital era.

4. Objectives of the Study

The main objectives of the study are

- 1. To identify the various types of ICT training.
- 2. To find out the level of ICT training.
- **3.** To identify the preferred time for the ICT training.

5. Methodology

A survey method based on structured questionnaire was used to collect the data for this study. The questionnaire was divided into four sections namely Personal Information, ICT training methods, level of ICT training and Time for ICT training. The

questionnaires were administered directly to the LIS professionals working in Anna University and Engineering Colleges located in and around Chennai. A total of 97 questionnaires were distributed and 83 filled in questionnaires were received back. The data were collected from Librarians 47, (56.62%), Assistant Librarians 13 (15.67%), Library Assistants 23 (27.71%) for this The collected study. data through questionnaires were converted into machine -readable form and imported into the statistical analysis package, SPSS. The data were analyzed and inference made based on standard statistical methods. The respondents were asked to select multiple answers for ICT training, level of ICT training and Time for ICT training.

6. Data Analysis

Ten ICT training methods were identified for this study. These training methods were ascertained using nominal scales such as "YES" or "NO". The weightage was assigned from least to highest e.g. "YES" = 1 and "NO"= 2. The rank was also given from least to highest and same is shown in table 1.

Table 1
ICT TRAINING

S.No	Description	ICT TRAIN	ING		
3.110	Description	Yes	No	Mean	Rank
1.	In-house course training	45	38	1.54	4
1.	in nouse course training	54.2%	45.8%	1.54	
2.	Professional Associations/Institutions	40	43	1.48	3
2.	1 Totossional 7 Issociations/ Institutions	48.2%	51.8%	1.10	
3.	Workshops	26	57	1.31	1
J.	Workshops	31.3%	68.7%	1.31	
4	Hands-on Training	48	35	1.58	4
	Training	57.8%	42.2%	1.50	
5.	Tours of other Institutions	56	27	1.67	7
J.	Todas of other institutions	67.5%	32.5%	1.07	,
6.	Conferences/Seminars	27	56	1.33	2
0.	Comprehenses, Seminars	32.5%	67.5%	1.00	
7.	Lecture series method	66	17	1.80	9
, ,		79.5%	20.5%	1100	
8.	Web-based tutorials	55	27	1.67	7
	Wes sused tutorials	67.1%	32.9%	1107	,
9.	Tele/video conferencing method	55	28	1.66	6
'.	1 515, Table conferencing memor	66.3%	33.7%	1.00	
10.	Self-study training	47	35	1.81	10
10. Sen-study training	Sen stady truming	56.6%	42.2%	1.01	

The respondents were asked to indicate the preferred method of ICT training. Table 1 reveals that 31.3 % mean 1.31 and rank 1 of respondents were preferred to attend workshops to develop their ICT skills. It is followed by 32.5%, mean 1.33 and rank 2 of respondents were preferred to attend the conferences/seminars to update their skills

in ICT. Further, it is also found that 48.2%, mean 1.48 and rank 3 of respondents were interested to attend the training programmes organized by the professional associations/institutions on ICT. The respondents 56.6%, mean 1.81 and rank 10 were preferred Selfstudy method.

ICT training method was also ascertained by designation. The respondents were further grouped as Librarians, Assistant Librarians and Library Assistants and the same is shown in table 2.

Table 2
ICT TRAINING Vs DESIGNATION

		Librar	ian	Assist	ant	Librar	у	
		n=47		Librar	ian	Assist	ant	Total
S.NO	Description				n=13		n=23	
		YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	
1.	In-house course training	30	17	7	6	8	15	83
1.	in nouse course training	63.8%	36.2%	53.8%	46.2%	34.8%	65.2%	100%
2	Professional Associations/Institutions	20	27	7	6	13	10	83
_	Troressional Associations, histiations	42.6%	57.4%	53.8%	46.2%	56.5%	43.5%	100%
3	Workshops	13	34	6	7	7	16	83
3	Workshops	27.7%	72.3%	46.2%	53.8%	30.4%	69.6%	100%
4.	Hands-on Training	26	21	9	4	13	10	83
''	Training	55.3%	44.7%	69.2%	30.8%	56.5%	43.5%	100%
5.	Tours of other Institutions	29	18	8	5	19	4	83
3.	Tours of other institutions	61.7%	38.3%	61.5%	38.5%	82.6%	17.4%	100%
6.	Conferences/Seminars	12	35	4	9	11	12	83
0.	Comercines, Seminars	25.5%	74.5%	30.8%	69.2%	47.8%	52.2%	100%
7.	Lecture series method	34	13	12	1	20	3	83
' '	Lecture series mediod	72.3%	27.7%	92.3%	7.7%	87.0%	13.0%	100%
8.	Web-based tutorials	28	19	11	2	16	6	83
0.	Web based tatorials	59.6%	40.4%	84.6%	15.4%	72.7%	27.3%	100%
9.	Tele/video conferencing	25	22	12	1	18	5	83
<i>)</i> .	Tele, video comercine	53.2%	46.8%	92.3%	7.7%	78.3%	21.7%	100%
10.	Self-study training	23	23	8	5	16	7	83
10.	Sen study training	48.9%	48.9%	61.5%	38.5%	69.6%	30.4%	100%

The respondents were asked to indicate the preferred method of training. Table 2 reveals that 63.8% of Librarians were interested to

attend in-house training programme organized by the institutions. It is also found that the majority of Assistant Librarians (92.3%) and Library Assistant (87%) were preferred to attend lecture series method to develop their ICT skills.

ICT training method was also ascertained based on the institutions. The institutions

were grouped into two categories namely Anna University and Engineering Colleges and the same is shown in table 3.

Table 3
ICT TRAINING METHODS Vs INSTITUTIONS

		Anna		Engineerin	g	
	Description	Univers	sity	Colleges		
S.NO	Description	n=35		n=48		
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Total
1.	In-house course training	9	26	36	12	83
1.	in-nouse course training	25.7%	74.3%	75.0%	25.0%	100%
2	Professional associations/Institutions	15	20	25	23	83
2	Froressional associations/institutions	42.9%	57.1%	52.1%	47.9%	100%
3	Workshops	8	27	18	30	83
3	Workshops	22.9%	77.1%	37.5%	62.5%	100%
4.	Hands-on Training	13	22	35	13	83
4.	Trainus-on Training	37.1%	62.9%	72.9%	27.1%	100%
5.	Tours of other Institutions	23	12	33	15	83
J.	Tours of other institutions	65.7%	34.3%	68.8%	31.3%	100%
6.	Conferences/Seminars	10	25	17	31	83
0.	Conferences/Semmars	28.6%	71.4%	35.4%	64.6%	100%
7.	Lecture series method	27	8	39	9	83
/.	Lecture series method	77.1%	22.9%	81.3%	18.8%	100%
8.	Web-based tutorials	21	13	34	14	83
0.	Web based tatorials	61.8%	38.2%	70.8%	29.2%	100%
9.	Tele/video conferencing	24	11	31	17	83
<i>)</i> .	Tele, video comercionig	68.6%	31.4%	64.6%	35.4%	100%
10.	Self-study training	19	16	28	19	83
	Son study daming	54.3%	45.7%	58.3%	39.6%	100%

The respondents were asked indicate the preferred method of ICT training. Table 3 reveals that respondents (77.1%) and (68.6%) working in Anna University were preferred lecture series and Tele/video conferencing methods for ICT training respectively. It is followed by 54.3% of respondents were interested in Self-study training to improve their ICT skills. The respondents (81.3%) and (75%) working in Engineering Colleges preferred were Lecture series and in -house training methods respectively to update their skills in ICT. A very few respondents (35.4%) were interested to attend conferences/seminars in engineering colleges.

The study was also ascertained the level of ICT training to develop their skills. To ascertain the levels of ICT training, three point's scales such as "Awareness", "Application" and "Proficiency" were used in this study. The weightage was assigned from least to highest such as "Awareness =1", "Application=2" and "Proficiency"=3. The rank was also given from least to highest and the same is shown in table 4.

Table 4
LEVEL OF ICT TRAINING

S.No	Description	LEVE	LEVELS OF ICT TRAINING							
5.110	Description	AW	APP	PRO	Mean	Rank				
1.	In-house course training	49	24	10	1.53	5				
		59.0%	28.9%	12.0%						
2	Professional	41	36	6	1.58	3				
_	Associations/Institutions	49.4%	43.4%	7.2%	1.36	3				
3	Workshops	37	29	17	1.76	1				
3	Workshops	44.6%	34.9%	20.5%	1.70	1				
4.	Hands-on Training	47	20	16	1.63	2				
	Training	56.6%	24.1%	19.3%	1.03					
5.	Tours of other Institutions	52	23	8	1.47	8				
		62.7%	27.7%	9.6%						
6.	Conference/Seminars	49	25	9	1.52	6				
		59.0%	30.1%	10.8%						
7.	Lecture series	53	21	9	1.47	9				
		63.9%	25.3%	10.8%						
8.	Web-based tutorials	50	23	10	1.52	6				

		60.2%	27.7%	12.0%		
9.	Tele/video conferencing	56	16	11	1.46	10
<i>)</i> .	Tele/ video conferencing	67.5%	19.3%	13.3%	1.40	10
10.	Self-study training	50	21	12	1.54	4
10.	Sen-study training	60.2%	25.3%	14.5%	1.54	4

(Aw=Awareness, App=Applications, PRO=Proficiency)

The respondents were asked to indicate the level of ICT training. Table 4 reveals that 20.5%, mean1.76 and rank 1 of respondents were preferred to attend workshops at proficiency level. It is followed by 19.3%, mean 1.63 and rank 2 of respondents were preferred to attend hands-on training at proficiency level. A very few respondents

13.3%, 1.46 and rank 10) were interested to attend Tele/video based training at proficiency level.

The level of ICT training was further ascertained on the designations such as Librarians, Assistant Librarians and Library Assistants and the same is shown in table 5.

Table 5
LEVELS OF ICT TRAINING Vs DESIGNATION

		Librar	ian		Assist	ant Libr	arian	Library Assistant			
S.No	Description	n=47			n=13			n=23			Total
		AW	APP	PRO	AW	APP	PRO	AW	APP	PRO	
1.	In-house course training	30 63.8%	10 21.3%	7 14.9%	8 61.5%	3 23.1%	2 15.4%	11 47.8%	11 47.8%	1 4.3%	83 100%
2	Professional	18	25	4	7	4	2	16	7	0	83
2	Associations/Institutions	38.3%	53.2%	8.5%	53.8%	30.8%	15.4%	69.6%	30.4%	.0%	100%
3	Workshops/Courses	19	15	13	6	5	2	12	9	2	83
	, volkshops, courses	40.4%	31.9%	27.7%	46.2%	38.5%	15.4%	52.2%	39.1%	8.7%	100%
4.	Hands-on Training	25	9	13	8	3	2	14	8	1	83
	1141145 911 114111119	53.2%	19.1%	27.7%	61.5%	23.1%	15.4%	60.9%	34.8%	4.3%	100%
5.	Tours of other	24	17	6	8	3	2	20	3	0	83
<i>J</i> .	Institutions	51.1%	36.2%	12.8%	61.5%	23.1%	15.4%	87.0%	13.0%	.0%	100%
6.	Conferences/Seminars	26	15	6	7	4	2	16	6	1	83

		55.3%	31.9%	12.8%	53.8%	30.8%	15.4%	69.6%	26.1%	4.3%	100%
7.	Lecture series	29	13	5	6	5	2	18	3	2	83
/.	Lecture series	61.7%	27.7%	10.6%	46.2%	38.5%	15.4%	78.3%	13.0%	8.7%	100%
8.	Web-based tutorials	26	15	6	7	3	3	17	5	1	83
0.	Web-based tutorials	55.3%	31.9%	12.8%	53.8%	23.1%	23.1%	73.9%	21.7%	4.3%	100%
9.	Tele/video conferencing	29	10	8	8	3	2	19	3	1	83
<i>)</i> .	Tele/ video conferencing	61.7%	21.3%	17.0%	61.5%	23.1%	15.4%	82.6%	13.0%	4.3%	100%
10.	Self-study training	26	12	9	7	4	2	17	5	1	83
10.	Sen-study training	55.3%	25.5%	19.1%	53.8%	30.8%	15.4%	73.9%	21.7%	4.3%	100%

(Aw=Awareness, App=Applications, PRO=Proficiency)

The respondents were asked to indicate the level of ICT training. Table 5 reveals that 27.7% of Librarians were interested to attend both workshops and hands- on training at proficiency level to improve skills and knowledge on ICT. A very few respondents (8.5%) were preferred to attend training programmes organized by the professional associations/institutions at proficiency level. It is also found that 23.1%

of Assistant Librarians were preferred to attend web-based training to improve ICT skills at proficiency level. A few Library Assistants (8.7%) were interested to attend both workshops as well as lecture series method at proficiency level to improve their skills in ICT.

The level of ICT training was further ascertained based on the institutions and the same is shown in table 6.

Table 6
LEVEL OF ICT TRAINING Vs INSTITUTIONS

		Anna University			Enginee			
S.No	Description	n=35			n=48	Total		
		AW	APP	PRO	AW	APP	PRO	
1.	In-house course training	13	17	5	36	7	5	83
1.	m-nouse course training	37.1%	48.6%	14.3%	75.0%	14.6%	10.4%	100%
2	Professional	19	15	1	22	21	5	83
2	Associations/Institutions	54.3%	42.9%	2.9%	45.8%	43.8%	10.4%	100%
3	Workshops/Courses	13	18	4	24	11	13	83
3	Workshops/Courses	37.1%	51.4%	11.4%	50.0%	22.9%	27.1%	100%

4.	Hands-on Training	16 45.7%	13 37.1%	6 17.1%	31 64.6%	7 14.6%	10 20.8%	83 100%
5.	Tours of other Institutions	23 65.7%	10 28.6%	2 5.7%	29 60.4%	13 27.1%	6 12.5%	83 100%
6.	Conferences/Seminars	19 54.3%	12 34.3%	4 11.4%	30 62.5%	13 27.1%	5 10.4%	83 100%
7.	Lecture series	22 62.9%	10 28.6%	3 8.6%	31 64.6%	11 22.9%	6 12.5%	83 100%
8.	Web-based tutorials	22 62.9%	9 25.7%	4 11.4%	28 58.3%	14 29.2%	6 12.5%	83 100%
9.	Tele/video conferencing	22 62.9%	8 22.9%	5 14.3%	34 70.8%	8 16.7%	6 12.5%	83 100%
10.	Self-study training	20 57.1%	9 25.7%	6 17.1%	30 62.5%	12 25.0%	6 12.5%	83 100%

The respondents were asked to indicate the level of ICT training to improve their skills in ICT .Table 6 reveals that majority of respondents(65.7%) working in Anna University were interested to visit other libraries in order to aware of ICT. It is also found that 51.4% of them were preferred to attend the workshops to apply ICT in the libraries. A very few respondents (17.1%) were interested to develop ICT skills at proficiency level by hands on training. The respondents (75%) working in Engineering college were interested to attend ICT

training organized by the professionals associations/institutions at awareness level, it is followed by 27.1% of respondents were preferred to attend workshops at proficiency level on ICT.

The study was also ascertained the preferred time for ICT training. Seven different timings were identified for this study .The weightage was also assigned from least to highest e.g. "YES" = 1 and "NO" =2 .The rank was also given from least to highest and the same is shown in table 7.

Table 7
ICT TRAINING TIME

Description	ICT TRAIN	ING TIM	E	
Description	Yes	No	Mean	Rank
After working hours	44	39	1 53	1
Arter working nours	53.0%	47.0%	1.55	1
Evening time	60	23	1 72	7
Evening time	72.3%	27.7%	1.72	
Long term training Programmes	57	26	1.60	6
(more than one day)	68.7%	31.3%	1.09	0
Summer/other vocational	48	35	1.58	3
programmes	57.8%	42.2%	1.56	3
Short term training programme	55	28	1 66	5
Short term training programme	66.3%	33.7%	1.00	
Week days	51	32	1.61	4
TOOK days	61.4%	38.6%	1.01	'
Daily (limited periods)	47	36	1 57	2
Duny (minica periods)	56.6%	43.4%	1.57	
	(more than one day) Summer/other vocational	Description Yes 44 53.0% Evening time Compared training Programmes Evening time Compared training Programmes Compared than one day) Summer/other vocational 48 programmes Short term training programme Short term training programme The state of the state	Description Yes No After working hours 44 39 53.0% 47.0% Evening time 60 23 72.3% 27.7% Long term training Programmes 57 26 (more than one day) 68.7% 31.3% Summer/other vocational vocational programmes 48 35 Short term training programme 55 28 66.3% 33.7% Week days 51 32 61.4% 38.6% Daily (limited periods) 47 36	Yes No Mean 44 39 1.53 53.0% 47.0% 1.53 Evening time 60 23 72.3% 27.7% 1.72 Long term training Programmes 57 26 (more than one day) 68.7% 31.3% Summer/other vocational programmes 35 57.8% 42.2% 1.58 Short term training programme 55 28 66.3% 33.7% 1.66 Week days 51 32 61.4% 38.6% 1.61 Daily (limited periods) 47 36 1.57

The respondents were asked to indicate the preferred time for ICT training. Table 7 reveals that 53%, mean 1.53 and rank 1 of respondents were preferred to attend ICT training after working hours, followed by 56.6%, mean 1.57 and rank 2 of respondents were preferred daily(limited periods). It is also found that 57.8%, mean 1.58 and rank 3

were preferred to attend summer/other vocational programmes to update their ICT skills.

ICT training time was further evaluated based on designations such as Librarians, Assistant Librarians, Library Assistants and the same is shown in table 8.

Table 8
ICT TRAINING TIME Vs DESIGNATION

		Librari	an	Assista	ınt	Library	7	
		n=47		Librari	an	Assistant		Total
S.NO	Description			n=13		n=23		
		YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	
1.	After working hours	19	28	11	2	14	9	83
		40.4%	59.6%	84.6%	15.4%	60.9%	39.1%	100%
2	Evening time	28	19	12	1	20	3	83
2	Lvening time	59.6%	40.4%	92.3%	7.7%	87.0%	13.0%	100%
3	Long term training Programmes (more	33	14	10	3	14	9	83 100%
	than one day)	70.2%	29.8%	76.9%	23.1%	60.9%	39.1%	
4.	Summer/other vocational	26 55.3%	21 44.7%	9 69.2%	4 30.8%	13 56.5%	10 43.5%	83 100%
	programmes	22	1.4	0	4	10	10	02
5.	Short term training programme	33 70.2%	14 29.8%	9 69.2%	30.8%	13 56.5%	10 43.5%	83
6.	Week days	29 61.7%	18 38.3%	9 69.2%	4 30.8%	13 56.5%	10 43.5%	83 100%
7.	Daily (limited periods)	29 61.7%	18 38.3%	7 53.8%	6 46.2%	11 47.8%	12 52.2%	83 100%

The respondents were asked to indicate the preferred time for ICT training. Table 8 reveals that 70.2% of Librarians were preferred to attend both long term and short term training programmes (more than one day) to equip ICT skills, followed by 92.3% of Assistant Librarians were interested to

attend training during evening time. Further, it is also found that 60.9% of Library Assistants were preferred to attend long term training programs after working hours and ICT training time was also evaluated based on institutions and the same is shown in table 9.

Table 9
ICT TRAINING TIME Vs INSTITUTIONS

		Anna		Engineer	ing	
S.NO		Univers	ity	Colleges		m . 1
		n=35		n=48		Total
		Yes	No	Yes	No	
1.	After working hours	23	12	21	27	83
1.	Titel working nours	65.7%	34.3%	43.8%	56.3%	100%
2	Evening time	28	7	32	16	83
2	Evening time	80.0%	20.0%	66.7%	33.3%	100%
3	Long term training Programmes	21	14	36	12	83
3	(more than one day)	60.0%	40.0%	75.0%	25.0%	100%
4.	Summer/other vocational	19	16	29	19	83
4.	programmes	54.3%	45.7%	60.4%	39.6%	100%
5.	Short term training programme	19	16	36	12	83
<i>J</i> .	Short term training programme	54.3%	45.7%	75.0%	25.0%	100%
6.	Week days	15	20	36	12	83
0.	week days	42.9%	57.1%	75.0%	25.0%	100%
7.	Daily (limited periods)	20	15	27	21	83
/·	Duny (minica periods)	57.1%	42.9%	56.3%	43.8%	100%

The respondents working in Anna University and Engineering College were asked to indicate the preferred time for ICT training. Table 9 reveals that majority of (80%) working in Anna respondents were preferred to attend the University training during evening time and the respondents (75%) working in colleges were interested to attend both long term(more than one day), short term training during week days to develop their skills in ICT.

7. Conclusion and Recommendations

The libraries especially in academic are implementing more ICT infrastructure facilities in libraries in order to provide web based services to their users. The success of new service is based on the skills and knowledge possessed by the library staff. Another factor is that the library staff should be trained in such a way to implement,

manage and utilize the technologies to provide services to the users. In this study, the majority of respondents were interested to develop their skills in Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs). It is also found that the respondents were preferred lecture and conferences/seminars methods of training to update their skills. It is not enough simply to make aware of such technologies, but also it is necessary to have practical exposure in application, implementation and maintenance of ICTs in the libraries. Therefore, the training methods such as Web based tutorial, Workshops and Hands on training methods should be attended by LIS to develop and update their skills in ICTs. The Universities and Colleges are organizing a good number of workshops and hands on training programmes on ICTs for LIS professionals. It also provides a greater exposure to learn and implement the technologies. The study recommends that the LIS professionals have to upgrade their practical skills to implement the ICT in the libraries. It is an important to note that practical exposure is most important than theoretical exposure the area Information Communication and Technologies (ICTs).

8. References

- 1. Dodd, C., Baigent, H.andWoodhouse, S. (Eds.) (2002), NOF ICT Training Progrommes for Public Library staff: Interm Survey of Training to meet expected outcomes 2-8 and advanced levels of the programme, available at: www.peplesnetwork.gov.uk/training/id598reo.pdf.
- 2. Garrod, P. (2001), "Staff training and end-user training issues within the hybrid", *Library Management*, Vol. 22 No.1/2, pp.30-6.
- 3. Ondar-Okemwa, E. (2000), "Training needs of practicing professional librarians in the Kenyan public university libraries: a critical analysis", *Library Management*, Vol.21 No.5, pp.257-68.
- 4. McNicol, S (2002), "Leaning in libraries: lesion for Staff", *New Library World*, Vol.102 No .7/8, pp.251-8.
- 5. Spacey,R.E.(2003), "An evaluation of the new opportunities fund ICT training programme for public library staff, UK",paper presented at the *World Library and Information*

- Congress: 69th IFLA General Conference and Council, Berlin,2003,available at: www.ifla.org/IV/ifla69/papers/oo4e-Spacey.pdf.
- Spacey, R., Goulding, A. and Murray, I. (2003), "ICT and change in UK public libraries: does training matter", *Library Management*, Vol.24 No.1/2,pp.61-9.
- 7. Obajemu, A.S. and Ibegwam, A.(2006), "A survey of Librarians' attitudes to training programmes on ICT applications of cataloguing and classification workshops in Nigeria", *African Journal of Library, Arichives and Information Science*, Vol.16 No.1, pp.19-27.
- P., 8. Sharma, Singh, M.and Pankajkumar (2009)."Approach to ICT in Library Training, Education and Technology: **Issues** and Challenges" available at. http://crl.du.ac.in/ical09/papers/index _files/ical-1 16-104-236_2_RV.Pdf. accessed on 8-12-2009.

Manuscript Received: 6th Apr. 2011

Manuscript Revised: 9th May 2011

Manuscript Accepted: 26th May 2011

- 9. Blurton, C.,(1999). "New directions in education, In UNESCO's world communication and information 1999-2000", Paris: UNESCO: 46-61.
- 10. Hamelink, C.J., (1997). "New Information and Communication Technologies: Social Development and Cultural Changes" .Discussion paper.Dp.86 UNRISD, Geneva.
- 11. Ramzan, M. (2002). "Utilization librarians' attitudes levels and towards information technology (IT) in applications academic research libraries in Pakistan", unpublished doctoral dissertation.St.George University International.
- 12. Ameen.K. (2006). "An overview of library education and curriculum development in Pakistan and needs of 21st century", paper presented at the 23rd Indian Association of Teachers of Library and Information Science (IATLIS) Conference, Patiala, 2006.