## E-Resources Initiatives: Challenges Based on Users Perspective

 $\textbf{Article} \;\; in \;\; \text{Asian Journal of Computer Science And Information Technology} \cdot \text{November 2012}$ DOI: 10.51983/ajist-2011.1.2.29 CITATION READS 1 72 4 authors: Duraisamy Gnanasekaran Kalasalingam University 4 PUBLICATIONS 12 CITATIONS 16 PUBLICATIONS 54 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE SEE PROFILE s. Balamurugan Gopalakrishnan Srinivasan **GITAM University** National Institute of Fashion Technology, Chennai 6 PUBLICATIONS 18 CITATIONS 74 PUBLICATIONS 110 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE SEE PROFILE





# Asian Journal of Information Science and Technology

An International Peer-Reviewed Journal on Information Science

**Journals Division** 



## Asian Journal of Information Science and Technology

#### July – December 2011 **Volume 1 Number 2 CONTENTS**

Sl.No.	Title	Page No.
1.	Mapping of Manomaniam Sundarnar University Publications: A Scientometric Analysis A. Thirumagal	01
2.	E-Resources Initiatives: Challenges Based on Users Perspective G.Sasireka, D.Gnanasekaran, S.Balamurugan and S.Gopalakrishnan	08
3.	Utilization of Library by the Students of Rajah Muthiah Matriculation Higher Secondary School, Karur District, Tamil Nadu: A Case Study P. Selvakumar and K. Thandavamoorthy	16
4.	Review and Evaluation of Wi-Fi Services and Information Seeking Behavior among Library Users Prem Prakash Sati and Gagan Deep Singh	22
5 <sup>-</sup>	Evaluation of E-Journals in Library and Information Science based on Three Websites: A Case Study C. Ranganathan	26
6.	Motivation and Dependence towards the Use of ICT Resources with Reference to Students and Faculty Members of Medical Colleges in Puducherry K. Saravanan and S. Ravi	31
7.	Use and Application of Information and Communication Technology by the Physical Education Faculty of Annamalai University: A Study  K.Murugan, S. Ravi and S. Surianarayanan	38
8.	A Study on Information Communication Technology among Engineering College Libraries in Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu C.S Chandra Mohan Kumar and J.Dominic	45
9.	Bibliometrics Analysis of Indian Journal of Chest Diseases and Allied Sciences (2000-2004) S. Kishorekumar and S. Mathurajothi	52
10.	Usage of Information Sources by the Students of Engineering Colleges in Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu: A Study  C. S. Chandra Mahan Kuman and J. Daminia	56
	C.S Chandra Mohan Kumar and J.Dominic	
11.	Uses of Web / Internet Sources in Current Science: A Bibliometric Analysis  B.O. Sathiyel Murugan, S. Ally Sornam and K. Sundarayadiyel	60

### E-Resources Initiatives: Challenges Based on Users Perspective

#### G.Sasireka<sup>1</sup>, D.Gnanasekaran<sup>2</sup>, S.Balamurugan<sup>3</sup> and S.Gopalakrishnan<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Library, C KCollege of Engineering & Technology, Cuddalore, Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>2</sup>Library, Anand Institute of Higher Technology, Chennai Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>3</sup>Library, Sakthi Mariamman Engineering College, Chennai Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>4</sup>Univeristy Library, Anna University, Chennai Tamil Nadu, India.

Email: i.sasireka@gmail.com

(Received on 02 October 2011 and accepted on 05 November 2011)

#### **Abstract**

In recent years, academic users have become more dependent on article databases and electronic journals to obtain information pertinent to their needs. In Indian Higher education has tremendous growth in providing quality education for past two decades, Most of the universities and colleges are providing pin pointed electronic information to their users. This study presents the results of a brief survey on the use of electronic resources by the academic users of selected engineering colleges in Tamil Nadu. Results revealed that the users are giving preference to "enhance effectiveness" (4.37) and it is followed by "online performance" (4.00) and "easy to use" (3.89). "Competent and effective" (3.21) of online resources is given least preference.

**Keywords:** Competent and Effective, Engineering Colleges, E-Resources,

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Increasing demands for access to electronic resources, continuous migration from print to online resources, and the overall proliferation of electronic media are forces libraries globally to re-examine their traditional operations and workflows. Efficient electronic resources management remains crucial in helping libraries to fulfill their role of meeting the information needs of patrons, and to this end, many libraries have adopted various strategies.

The majority of the resources are available in digital form which is of high cost. In spite of cost factors the academic libraries are started subscribing the eresources either through consortia or direct with publishers. The utility of these resources seems to be very less than estimated. In order to ascertain the challenges it is essential to identify the user preferences and use pattern of the e-resources. In this paper, an attempt has been made to identify the effectiveness of these e-resources in higher engineering educational institutions in and around Chennai (India).

#### 2. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

Swain and Pandey [1] highlighted in their study the problem and constraints faced by the information professionals in accessing e-resources and delivering electronic information services.

Usage of electronic resources and services by engineering students [2], legal professionals [3], business administrative students [4] indicates positive attitudes towards e-resources and their exhaustiveness.

The users, who have the knowledge of access to internet, may feel more comfortable in using electronic information sources and gain more from using them. The knowledge they attain for their above skills depends on many factors, such as their disciplines, academic status and ranks, ages and training [5]. Factors motivating the use, level of importance they give to e-resources, the use one has found and the purposes they use, plays a leading role in the use of electronic resources [6].

#### 3. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The following objectives have been pursued to study the use preferences and usage pattern of e-journals.

- 1. To identify the significance of access to eresources;
- 2. To find out the level of trust on e-resources for their research work;
- 3. To find out the technological attitude towards use e-resources;
- 4. To examine the integrity in use of e-journal services provided in their institutions;
- 5. To identify the mindset of the users in using eresources:
- 6. To identify the nature of attitude and intention in the use of e-resources.

#### 4. METHODOLOGY

To fulfill the stated objectives a well structured questionnaire was constructed and distributed to the ninety randomly selected students those are undergoing engineering education from selected engineering institutions, in and around Chennai, Tamil Nadu in regard to the use of e-resources. Out of 85 questionnaires thus distributed there were 76 responses (89.4%). The data were analyzed using SPSS.

#### 5. DATA ANALYSIS

The respondents were further evaluated based on the demographic nature such as gender, user group, domain of the institution and the location of the institution and the same is shown in the Table 1.

Table 1Demographic Data

S. No.	Description	Core Engineering	Allied Engineering	Total
	Gender			
	Male	17	15	32
1	Maie	22.4%	19.7%	42.1%
	F1-	19	25	44
	Female	25.0%	32.9%	57.9%
	Nature of Members	hip		•
	Student	27	31	58
2	Student	35.5%	40.8%	76.3%
	Equiltry	9	9	18
	Faculty	11.8%	11.8%	23.7%
	Location of the Insti	itution		
	D1	10	12	22
3	Rural	13.2%	15.8%	28.9%
	I Iula ou	26	28	54
	Urban	34.2%	36.8%	71.1%

Ten variables have been identified in the users perspectives on e-resources and the variables are evaluated based on five point scale such as Strongly Disagree, Disagree, Neither Agree nor Disagree, Agree and Strongly Agree. Further mean and standard deviation were calculated. Rank were provided based on mean and standard deviation and the same is shown in Table 2.

It can be seen from the Table 2 that the users are giving preference to "enhance effectiveness" (4.37) and it is followed by "online performance" (4.00) and "easy to use" (3.89). "Competent and effective" (3.21) of online resources is given least preference.

The user perspectives are further evaluated based on gender and the same is shown in Table 3.

**Table 2 User Perspectives** 

S. No.	Descriptions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Mean	Std. Deviation	Rank	
1	Competent &	8	16	14	28	10	3.21	1.23	10	
1	Effective	10.5%	21.1%	18.4%	36.8%	13.2%			10	
2	Online	-	4	24	16	32	4.00	0.98	2	
	Performance	-	5.3%	31.6%	21.1%	42.1%			2	
3	Comfortable	-	8	8	46	14	3.87	0.84	4	
3	Comfortable	-	10.5%	10.5%	60.5%	18.4%	2107	0.0.	4	
4	Like using	8	16	14	14	24	3.39	1.40	9	
4	websites	10.5%	21.1%	18.4%	18.4%	31.6%	3.33	1.10	9	
5	Eagy to ugo	-	20	12	-	44	3.89	1.34	3	
3	Easy to use	-	26.3%	15.8%	-	57.9%	3.03	1.5	3	
6	Improve my	6	8	6	44	12	3.63	1.12	8	
0	performance	7.9%	10.5%	7.9%	57.9%	15.8%	3.03	1.12	8	
7	Enhance	-	-	16	16	44	4.37	0.81	1	
/	effectiveness	-	-	21.1%	21.1%	57.9%	1.57	0.01	1	
8	Easier to find	-	-	24	46	6	3.76	0.59	6	
8	information	-	-	31.6%	60.5%	7.9%	3.70	0.59	0	
0	Capable &	-	20	4	32	20	3.68	1.13	7	
9	Proficient	-	26.3%	5.3%	42.1%	26.3%	3.00	1,13	7	
1.0	Use e-jls alone in	6	12	6	20	32	3.79	1.35	-	
10	future	7.9%	15.8%	7.9%	26.3%	42.1%	3.17	1.55	5	

The Table 3 shows that the respondents are giving top two preference to "enhance effectiveness" (4.41, 4.34) and "easy to use" (4.16, 3.16) and the least preference to "competent and effective" (3.13, 3.27) irrespective of their gender. The male respondents provide third rank to "online performance" (4.00) and female respondents are giving to "comfortable" (3.93).

Further the user perspectives have also been evaluated based on the category of the users and the same is shown in Table 4.

It is seen from the table 4 that the students are providing top three ranks to "enhance effectiveness" (4.31), "online performance" (4.03) and "comfortable" (3.88) and the faculty members are providing to "enhance effectiveness" (4.56), "easy to use" (4.22) and "capable and proficient" (4.17). "Competent and effective" (3.19) is given least preference by students whereas faculty members provide least rank to "like using websites" (3.06).

The user's perspectives in use of e-resources are further evaluated based on the discipline offered by the institutions and the same is presented in Table 5.

Table 3 User Perspectives Vs Gender

Š	1.000				Male								Female				
No.	Description	1	2	3	4	S	M	Std	~	1	2	3	4	S	M	Std	2
-	Competent &	5	L	3	13	4	, 1,	1 2 4	-	3	6	11	15	9	700	1 15	(
_	Effective	15.6%	21.9%	9.4%	40.6%	12.5%	5.15	1.34	01	%8.9	20.5%	25.0%	34.1%	13.6%	2.7	CI.I	01
,	Online Deufoussesses	ı	1	12	7	13	20 7	000	·	ı	4	12	6	19	00 6	1 05	c
7	Online Periormance	ı	1	37.5%	21.9%	40.6%	4.03	0.90	ი	1	9.1%	27.3%	20.5%	43.2%	5.78	c0.1	7
,	Composition 10	ı	3	4	22	3	2 70	37.0	-	1	5	4	24	111	202	00	·
o	Commortable	ı	9.4%	12.5%	%8.89	9.4%	0.70	0.73	4	,	11.4%	9.1%	54.5%	25.0%	5.75	0.90	o
-	Sobject No seight of 1	4	5	7	4	12	7 77	1 16	c	4	11	7	10	12	2 2 4	1 26	0
<b>†</b>	LIKE USING WEUSINGS	12.5%	15.6%	21.9%	12.5%	37.5%	7.5	1.40	7	9.1%	25.0%	15.9%	22.7%	27.3%	5.54	1.30	7
v	Dogg 40 1100	ı	7	3	1	22	7 1 6	1 20	c	1	13	6	ı	22	2.70	1 26	٥
C	Easy to Use	ı	21.9%	9.4%	1	68.8%	4.10	1.50	7	1	29.5%	20.5%	1	50.0%	5.70	1.30	0
7	Improve My	3	3	4	18	4	2 53	1 1 1	0	3	5	2	78	8	02.6	1 11	1
0	Performance	9.4%	%1.6	12.5%	56.3%	12.5%	5.33	1.14	0	%8.9	11.4%	4.5%	59.1%	18.2%	5.70	1.11	,
ľ	Enhance	-	-	5	6	18	7 71	92.0	-	ı	-	11	L	26	121	200	-
,	Effectiveness	-	-	15.6%	28.1%	56.3%	1+.+	00	ī	1	-	25.0%	15.9%	59.1%	4.34	0.00	Ī
٥	Easier to Find	-	-	13	15	4	2 73	090	V	1	-	11	31	2	2 9.0	0.51	V
0	Information	-	-	40.6%	46.9%	12.5%	3.72	0.00	C	1	-	25.0%	%5.07	4.5%	5.00	0.31	C
c	Capable &	1	6	1	15	7	2 63	1 12	9	1	11	3	11	13	2 7 2	1 15	9
7	Proficient	ı	28.1%	3.1%	46.9%	21.9%	5.03	61.1		-	25.0%	%8.9	38.6%	29.5%	5.13	1.13	0
-	Use E-journals	4	4	3	10	11	2 63	17	1	2	8	3	10	21	2 0 1	1 2 1	_
10	Alone in Future	12.5%	12.5%	9.4%	31.3%	34.4%	5.03	1.41		4.5%	18.2%	%8.9	22.7%	47.7%	3.91	1.5.1	<b>†</b>

1-Strongly Disagree, 2-Disagree, 3-Neither Agree nor Disagree, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Table 4 User Category

s,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				Students	7.00							Faculties	7.0			
No.	Suonduasan	1	7	3	4	9	M	STD	R	1	2	3	4	5	M	STD	~
	Composition of the transformation	7	11	10	24	9	2.10	,	-	1	5	4	4	4	000	1 27	-
<b>-</b>	Competent & Enecuive	12.1%	19.0%	17.2%	41.4%	10.3%	5.19	1.22	10	9.6%	27.8%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	2.70	1.27	7
c	Online Boufournesses	1	ε	18	11	76	1 03	000	,	-	1	9	5	9	2 00	90 0	_
7	Online renomance	1	5.2%	31.0%	19.0%	44.8%	4.03	0.39	7	-	5.6%	33.3%	27.8%	33.3%	5.09	0.90	+
r	J. 40 - 40 - 50 - 50 - 50 - 50 - 50 - 50 -	1	9	5	37	10	3 00	000	,	ı	2	3	6	4	2 00	0.00	V
C	Colinoltable	1	10.3%	%9.8	%8.89	17.2%	2.00	70.0	n	ı	11.1%	16.7%	50.0%	22.2%	2.03	0.92	<b>n</b>
_	Topic deray of the T	5	14	<i>L</i>	11	21	2 50	7	_	3	2	7	3	3	306	1 20	-
†	Like using websites	%9.8	24.1%	12.1%	19.0%	36.2%	5.30	74.	7	16.7%	11.1%	38.9%	16.7%	16.7%	2.00	06.1	10
ų	7 2000	1	17	6	ı	32	7 0 1	1,01	4	ı	3	3	ı	12	7	30.1	,
<b>C</b>	Easy to use	1	29.3%	15.5%	1	55.2%	5.01	1.3/	0	ı	16.7%	16.7%	1	%2.99	4.1.	57:1	n
9	Improve my	2	9	9	98	8	273	200	1	4	2	1	8	4	2 23	1 52	٥
0	performance	3.4%	10.3%	10.3%	62.1%	13.8%	2.72	C.9.0	l	22.2%	11.1%	1	44.4%	22.2%	2.23	50.1	0
1	The second secon	1	-	14	12	32	1 2 1	0.04	-	ı	-	2	4	12	72 1	02.0	-
_	Elliance enecuveness	1	-	24.1%	20.7%	55.2%	4.31	0.04	1	-	-	11.1%	22.2%	66.7%	4.30	0./0	T
٥	Easier to find	1	-	17	35	9	2 0 1	0.61	V	-	-	7	111	1	196	0 2 0	1
0	information	1	-	29.3%	%£.09	10.3%	3.01	0.01	ر ا	-	-	38.9%	61.1%	١.	3.01	00	`
c	Comply & Destinat	1	61	4	21	14	2 57	1 10	0	-	1	1	11	9	7 22	0.73	r
7		١.	32.8%	%6.9	36.2%	24.1%	3.32	1.19	0	-	5.6%	1	61.1%	33.3%	77.+	0.73	4
10	cultif ai cacle ali e call	9	8	2	16	76	2 02	1 40	_	-	4	4	4	9	2 67	1 10	9
10	OSC C-JIS AIOIIC III IUUUIC	10.3%	13.8%	3.4%	27.6%	44.8%	5.03	1.40	†	1	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	33.3%	7.0.0	1.19	)

1-Strongly Disagree, 2-Disagree, 3-Neither Agree nor Disagree, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Table 5 Discipline Group

Competent & Says         1         2         3         4         5         M         STD         R         1         2         3         4         5         M         STD         ST		7			Cor	Core Engineering	ering						Othe	Other Engineering	ering			
3         6         7         13         7         34         1.23         9         5         10         7         15         3         3.03	Desc	suondus	1	2	3	4	S.	M	STD	×	1	2	8	4	S	M	STD	~
8.3%         16.7%         19.4%         36.1%         19.4%         36.1%         19.4%         36.1%         19.4%         36.1%         19.4%         36.1%         19.4%         36.1%         19.4%         36.1%         19.4%         36.1%         19.4%         36.1%         19.4%         36.1%         19.4%         19.4%         19.4%         19.4%         19.4%         19.4%         19.4%         19.4%         19.4%         19.4%         19.4%         19.4%         19.4%         19.4%         19.4%         19.4%         20.4         19.4%         20.4         19.4%         20.4         19.4%         20.4         19.4%         20.4         19.4%         20.4         19.4%         20.4         19.4%         20.4         19.4%         20.4         19.4%         20.4         19.4%         20.4         19.4%         20.4         19.4%         20.4         19.4%         20.4         19.4%         20.4         19.4%         20.4         19.4%         20.4         19.4%         20.4         19.4%         20.0%         19.5%         19.5%         20.0%         19.5%         20.0%         19.5%         20.0%         19.5%         20.0%         20.0%         20.0%         20.0%         20.0%         20.0%	Competent &	nt &	3	9	7	13	7	,	,	-	5	10	7	15	3	,	5	5
1.   1.   1.   1.   1.   1.   1.   1.	Effective		8.3%	16.7%	19.4%	36.1%	19.4%	3.42	1.23	7	12.5%	25.0%	17.5%	37.5%	7.5%	3.03	1.2.1	10
1.00   1.00	J. D. J.	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	1	1	10	10	15	90 7	100	,	1	3	14	9	17	,00	1 05	,
33         4         22         7         3.92         0.81         4          5         4         24         7         3.83            8.3%         11.1%         61.1%         19.4%         3.92         0.81         -         5         4         24         7         5.5%         10.0%         60.0%         17.5%         3.0%         17.5%         10.0%         60.0%         17.5%         3.2%	Online Fe	riormance	1	2.8%	27.8%	27.8%	41.7%	4.08	0.91	n	ı	7.5%	35.0%	15.0%	42.5%	5.75	0.1	n
8.3%         11.1%         61.1%         19.4%         3.3.4         4.28         11.2%         7.3.4         10.0%         60.0%         17.5%         3.0.3           8.3%         11.1%         25.0%         22.2%         33.3%         3.61         1.29         7         5         12         5         6         12.3%         30.0%         12.5%         15.0%         30.0%         17.5%         30.0%         17.5%         30.0%         17.5%         30.0%         17.5%         30.0%         17.5%         30.0	Complete		1	3	4	22	7	2 03	100	-	ı	5	4	24	7	2 0 2	100	-
33         4         9         8         12         3.61         1.29         7         5         12         5         6         12         3.0%           8.3%         11.1%         25.0%         22.2%         33.3%         4.28         1.21         2         -         14         8         -         18         3.0%         30.0%         12.5%         15.0%         30.	Colliforta	alo:	1	8.3%	11.1%	61.1%	19.4%	3.92	0.01	4	1	12.5%	10.0%	%0.09	17.5%	5.03	/0.0	4
8.3%         11.1%         25.0%         22.2%         33.3%         3.01         1.25%         30.0%         12.5%         15.0%         30.0%         3	.;:11 -:1; 1	TV-Laites	3	4	6	8	12	261	000	ı	5	12	5	9	12	,	7	-
-         6         4         -         26         4.28         1.21         2         -         14         8         -         18         3.55           -         16.7%         11.1%         -         72.2%         4.28         1.21         2         -         14         8         -         45.0%         20.0%         -         45.0%         3.55         -         45.0%         -         45.0%         -         45.0%         -         45.0%         -         45.0%         -         45.0%         -         45.0%         -         45.0%         -         45.0%         -         45.0%         -         4.15         -         1.25%         12.5%         11.1         11         11         11         11         11         11	Like Usir	ig websites	8.3%	11.1%	25.0%	22.2%	33.3%	3.01	1.29	`	12.5%	30.0%	12.5%	15.0%	30.0%	3.20	4:	7
-         16.7%         11.1%         -         72.2%         4.2%         1.21         2         -         35.0%         20.0%         -         45.0%         35.3%         35.0%         -         45.0%         -         45.0%         35.0%         35.3%         35.0%         10.1%         -         45.2%         1.25         1         5         1         26         7         45.0%         35.3%         35.0%         13.9%         36.0%         13.9%         36.0%         15.2%         1.25         10         1         5         1         26         7         45.0% </td <td>7.000.00</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>9</td> <td>4</td> <td>ı</td> <td>26</td> <td>000</td> <td></td> <td>c</td> <td>1</td> <td>14</td> <td>8</td> <td>1</td> <td>18</td> <td>23 (</td> <td>30</td> <td>٥</td>	7.000.00		1	9	4	ı	26	000		c	1	14	8	1	18	23 (	30	٥
5         3         5         18         5         1.25         10         1         5         1         5         7         3.83           13.9%         8.3%         13.9%         50.0%         13.9%         3.42         1.25         10         12.5%         12.5%         5.5%         65.0%         17.5%         3.83           -         -         -         4         6         26         4.61         0.69         1         -         -         12         10         18         4.15           -         -         11.1%         16.7%         72.2%         4.61         0.69         1         -         -         14         25         1         4.15           -         -         11.1%         16.7%         72.2%         4.61         5         -         -         14         25         1         3.68           -         9         2         18         7         3.64         1.07         6         -         27.5%         5.0%         35.0%         35.5%         3.78           2         4         5         9         13         3.58         1.44         8         2.5%         20.0%	Easy to O	200	ı	16.7%	11.1%	1	72.2%	4.70	1.2.1	4	ı	35.0%	20.0%	1	45.0%	3.33	1.30	0
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Improve my	my	5	3	5	18	5	,	3,0	5	1	5	1	26	7	ςο (	20.0	ų
-         -         4         6         26         4.61         0.69         1         -         -         12         10         18         4.15           -         -         -         -         11.1%         16.7%         72.2%         4.61         0.69         1         -         -         14         25         1         4.15           -         -         10         21         5         3.86         0.64         5         -         14         25         1         3.68           -         9         2         18         7         3.64         1.07         6         -         27.5%         5.0%         35.0%         35.5%         3.68           -         9         1.3         3.64         1.07         6         -         27.5%         5.0%         35.0%         32.5%         3.73           5         4         5         9         1.3         8         1.44         8         1         11         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1 <t< td=""><td>performance</td><td>ınce</td><td>13.9%</td><td>8.3%</td><td>13.9%</td><td>50.0%</td><td>13.9%</td><td>3.42</td><td>C7:1</td><td>01</td><td>2.5%</td><td>12.5%</td><td>2.5%</td><td>65.0%</td><td>17.5%</td><td>3.83</td><td>0.70</td><td>n</td></t<>	performance	ınce	13.9%	8.3%	13.9%	50.0%	13.9%	3.42	C7:1	01	2.5%	12.5%	2.5%	65.0%	17.5%	3.83	0.70	n
2   -   -   11.1%   16.7%   72.2%   4.01   0.05   1   -   -   -   30.0%   25.0%   45.0%   45.0%   4.1.3   4.	7.00	T. 45	1	1	4	9	26	171	0.5.0	-	ı	1	12	10	18	1 1 5	20 0	-
-         -         10         21         5         -         -         -         14         25         1         3.68         3.86         0.64         5         -         -         14         25         1         3.68         3.68         3.64         1.07         6         -         1         2         14         25         1         3.64         1.07         6         -         1         2         14         13         3.73           5         4         5         9         13         3.58         1.44         8         1         8         1	Ешпапсе	Ellectiveness	ı	1	11.1%	16.7%	72.2%	4.01	0.09	1	1	1	30.0%	25.0%	45.0%	4.13	0.00	Ī
-         -         -         27.8%         58.3%         13.9%         3.64         1.07         6         -         -         11         2         14         13         3.73           -         9         2.5.0%         56.%         50.0%         19.4%         3.64         1.07         6         -         11         2         14         13         3.73           5         4         5         9         13         3.58         1.44         8         1         8         1         11         19         3.98           13.9%         13.9%         25.0%         36.1%         3.58         1.44         8         2.5%         20.0%         27.5%         47.5%         3.98	Easier to Find	Find	ı	1	10	21	5	306	170	v	ı	1	14	25	1	3 60	0.52	1
-         9         2         18         7         3.64         1.07         6         -         11         2         14         13         3.73           -         25.0%         5.6%         50.0%         19.4%         3.64         1.07         6         -         27.5%         5.0%         35.0%         32.5%         3.73           5         4         5         9         13         3.58         1.44         8         1         8         1         11         19         3.98           13.9%         13.9%         25.0%         36.1%         8         1.44         8         2.5%         20.0%         2.5%         27.5%         47.5%         3.98	Information	lon	1	-	27.8%	58.3%	13.9%	3.00	0.0	ر ا	-	-	35.0%	62.5%	2.5%	5.00	0.33	,
-       25.0%       5.6%       50.0%       19.4%       3.58       1.0%       0       -       27.5%       5.0%       35.0%       35.5%       37.5%         5       4       5       9       13       3.58       1.44       8       1       8       1       11       19       3.98         13.9%       11.1%       13.9%       25.0%       36.1%       3.68       3.5%       20.0%       27.5%       47.5%       3.98	Conchis	& Droffoiont	ı	6	2	18	7	192	1 07	9	1	11	2	14	13	2 73	1 20	9
5459133.581.44818111111913.9%11.1%13.9%25.0%36.1%3.581.4482.5%20.0%2.5%27.5%47.5%3.98	Capabic		-	25.0%	5.6%	50.0%	19.4%	5.04	1.0/	0	-	27.5%	5.0%	35.0%	32.5%	3.13	1.20	0
13.9%   11.1%   13.9%   25.0%   36.1%   3.3%   1.44   0   2.5%   20.0%   2.5%   27.5%   47.5%   3.3%	Use e-joi	urnals Alone	5	4	5	6	13	2 50	1	٥	1	8	1	11	19	3.00	1 25	c
	in Future		13.9%		13.9%	25.0%	36.1%	5.30	<u>.</u>	0	2.5%	20.0%	2.5%	27.5%	47.5%	3.70	1.43	7

1-Strongly Disagree, 2-Disagree, 3-Neither Agree nor Disagree, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Table 6 Location of Institution

	2	-	2	c	۷	_	†	c	7	C	n	٥	0	-	<b>-</b>	4	)	1	_	V	ر 
	STD	,	1.24	000	0.99	980	0.00	1 11	1.1	1 2 4	1.34	1 10	1.12	0.01	0.01	0.50	0.39	1 13	1.12	1 2 4	1.34
	M	,	2.17	306	5.90	2 05	5.05	7.70	5.39	2 00	5.03	37 (	5.05	7 7 7	, C. +	,	5.74	27.7	7.7	2 70	5.70
	S	7	13.0%	22	40.7%	10	18.5%	17	31.5%	31	57.4%	6	16.7%	31	57.4%	4	7.4%	15	27.8%	22	40.7%
Rural	4	19	35.2%	11	20.4%	32	59.3%	10	18.5%			31	57.4%	12	22.2%	32	59.3%	23	42.6%	15	27.8%
	3	10	18.5%	18	33.3%	9	11.1%	10	18.5%	6	16.7%	4	7.4%	11	20.4%	18	33.3%	3	9.6%	4	7.4%
	2	12	22.2%	3	9.6%	9	11.1%	111	20.4%	14	25.9%	9	11.1%	-	-	1	-	13	24.1%	6	16.7%
	1	9	11.1%	-	-	-	-	9	11.1%	-	-	4	7.4%	ı	-	1	-		-	4	7.4%
	~	-	2	c	<b>1</b>	2	ე 	-		_	<b>1</b>	1	\	-		ų	ე 	٥	0	7	
	STD	- -	17:1	700	16:0	0.91	0.01	1 40	1.40	1 20	1.30	1 1 4	1.I 4	200	0.03	04	0.39	1 10	1.10	1 40	1.40
	M	,	2.32	00 1	4.03	2 01	3.91	2 41	3.41	2 01	3.91	2 50	5.39	701	4.30	,	2.02	2 55	5.55	00 6	2.02
	v.	3	13.6%	10	45.5%	4	18.2%	7	31.8%	13	59.1%	3	13.6%	13	59.1%	2	9.1%	5	22.7%	10	45.5%
Urban	4	6	40.9%	5	22.7%	14	63.6%	4	18.2%	ı	1	13	59.1%	4	18.2%	14	63.6%	6	40.9%	5	22.7%
	3	4	18.2%	9	27.3%	2	9.1%	4	18.2%	3	13.6%	2	9.1%	5	22.7%	9	27.3%	1	4.5%	2	9.1%
	2	4	18.2%	1	4.5%	2	9.1%	5	22.7%	9	27.3%	2	9.1%			,	1	7	31.8%	3	13.6%
	1	2	9.1%	ı	ı	-	ı	2	9.1%	ı	1	2	9.1%	ı	ı	ı	ı		1	2	9.1%
	Describitons		Competent & Enecuve	Online Doufemmen	Omine remonance	Comfortable	Collitoriable	Toticalotty and I call I	Like Using websites	Boarr to Has	Easy to Use	Improve My	Performance	Talon C.	Elliance Ellectiveness	Easier to Find	Information	Comoble & Ductions	Capaule & Fiulicielli	Use E-journals Alone in	Future
s.	No.	-	-	Ċ	1	3	n	-	4	4	ე 	7	0	ľ	`	0	0	C	ν	10	01

1-Strongly Disagree, 2-Disagree, 3-Neither Agree nor Disagree, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Table 5 shows that the respondents belonging to institutions offering core engineering subjects are providing "enhance effectiveness" (4.61), "easy to use" (4.28) and "improves the performance" (3.42) as top three reasons for using e-resources and the respondents belong to the institutions offering other engineering subjects are preferring "enhance effectiveness" (4.15), "use e-journals alone in future" (3.98) as top three reasons. "Improve my performance" (3.42) is the least preference given by respondents of core engineering institutions whereas "competent & effective" (3.03) is given by the respondents of other engineering institutions.

The user's perspectives in use of e-resources are further evaluated based on the location of institution and the same is presented in Table 6.

The respondents of urban located institutions prefer "enhance effectiveness" (4.36), "online performance" (4.09) and "comfortable" (3.91) as top three reasons for using e-resources whereas the respondents of rural institutions prefer "enhance effectiveness" (4.37), "online performance" (3.96) and "easy to use" (3.89). "Competent and effective" (3.32, 3.17) is the least preference given by all respondents irrespective of the locations of the institution.

#### 6. CONCLUSION

Even though the e-resources becomes mandatory of the day, it seems it has high utility as per the user's perspective is concerned. This study indicates the effectiveness of the e-resources becomes a prime motivation among the users of engineering institutions irrespective of either core or allied engineering; urban or rural and male or female. Amount spent on e-resources seems to be cost effective.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Swain, K. Dilip and K.C. Panda, 'Use of Electronic Resources in Business School Libraries of an Indian State: A Study of Librarians' Opinion', The Electronic Library, Vol. 27, No.1, 2009, pp. 74-85
- [2] N.K. Sahu and S.S. Basa, "Usage of Electronic Information Resources and Services among the Students of Seemanta Engineering College, Jharpokhria, Orissa: A study" Indian Journal of Information Science and Services, Vol.3 No.2, 2009, pp. 17-22.
- [3] S. Thanuskodi and M. Aravindhan, 'Usage of Eresources among the Legal Professionals of Madras High Court, Tamil Nadu: A Study' Indian Journal of Information Science and Service, Vol.3 No.2, 2009, pp. 48-54.
- [4] Maharana Bulu, Sethi Bipin Bihari and Behera Subedita, "Use of Internet and E-Resources by the Students of Business Management: A Survey of P.G Students of Business Administration, Sambalpur University, India' International Journal of Library and Information Science, Vol.2 No.3, 2010, pp. 45-53.
- [5] C. Tenopir and W.W. King, 'Reading Behavior and Electronic Journals' Learned Publishing, Vol.15, No 4, 2003, pp. 259-265.
- [6] A.O. Issa, A. Blessing and U.D. Daura. "Effects of Information Literacy Skills on the Use of E-library Resources among Students of the University of Ilorin, Kwara state, Nigeria", Library Philosophy and Practice, 2009.