Research Productivity on Green Energy in India: A Scientometric Study

C. Ranganathan

Assistant Professor,
Dept. of Library and Information Science,
Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli-24,

TamilNadu, e-mail: cranganathan72@gmail.com

Abstract

The study examines Green Energy Research in India as revealed by the scholarly publication indexed in web of science (WoS) for a period of fifteen years from 1999 to 2013. Most of the researchers preferred to publish their research results in 1016 journal articles. The authorship trend shows that, out of total 1105 research literatures published, 94.5% of them or published under the joint author of publications in Green Energy research output. It is observed that author productivity is not in agreement with Lotka's law. This shows that team research is prevalent in the area of Green Energy. It also analyses the characteristics of most productive institutions, authors and high-cited papers.

Keywords

Green Energy, Scientometric, Citation, Keyword Analysis, Bradford's law, Authorship Pattern.

Electronic access

The journal is available at www.jalis.in



Journal of Advances in Library and Information Science ISSN: 2277-2219 Vol. 3. No.4. 2014. Pp312-319

INTRODUCTION

Green is a term used for forms of energy which are not exhausted by use over time. It means that the renewable resources can be regenerated or renewed in a relatively short time. This Handbook focuses on the following leading renewable resources: biomass, wind, geothermal, solar and hydro. Industrial heat recovery power (IHRP) is a fairly novel approach to improving industrial energy efficiency by means of power generation, and in the US it is now included in the Renewable Energy Portfolio Standards. The sources of renewable energy can be divided, according to their origin, into natural renewable resources (wind, geothermal, solar, hydro, etc.) and renewable resources resulting from human activity (biomass, including landfill gas and industrial heat recovery power).

Green energies have a huge potential and can, theoretically, provide an unlimited supply of relatively clean and mostly local energy. In absolute terms, renewable energy supply has been growing strongly; albeit from a very low base. Green energy is closely associated with the concept of sustainable development introduced to the broad public in the report "Our Common Future" published in 1987 by the World Commission on Environment and Development chaired by Gro-Harlem Brundtland. The concept is defined in the report as: "Sustainable development is development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs."

The scientometric studies play a vital role in the process of information research. Scientometric studies have shown that all the pieces of published information do not have equal importance.Present study focuses attention on the growth of literature, authorship pattern, journal coverage, institutions involved in active research etc. Citation studies are recognized as an indicator of influence of published work on the scientific community. This study attempts to analysis the performance of Green Energy research output in terms of its content and coverage, growth rates, areas of research concentration, author productivity, and authorship pattern, journals and articles and other means of assisting the peer review procedure. Performance of research institutions in promotion of Green Energy research is also given due emphasis.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The main objective of this study is to examine the current status of Indian Green Energy, as reflected in the country research output during 1999–2013. The researcher has framed the following objectives for the purpose of present research.

- 1. To identify and analyse the rate of growth of research productivity;
- 2. To examine the Year wise distribution of publications;
- To identify the Document wise distribution of publications output;
- 4. To analyse the authorship pattern and examine the extent of research collaboration and ranking of authors based on publications output;
- 5. To identify journal wise distribution of publications output;
- 6. To identify word wise distribution of publications output;
- 7. To assess the Institution wise research concentration;
- 8. To identify Country wise Collaborative Distribution of Publications;

DATA COLLECTION

The basic publication data used in this study is derived from the Expanded Version of Science Citation Index (SCI) database, available in Web of Science. The raw publications data along with their citations has been downloaded from the Web of Science in May 2013. Publications data for 15 years from 1999 to 2013 were used for analyzing the growth and impact of Green Energy research.

METHODOLOGY

The present study aims at analysing the research output of Researchers in the field of Green Energy. The growth rates of output in terms of research productivity is analysed from 1999 to 2013. The authorship pattern and author productivity are examined to identify the pattern of research contribution in the field of Green Energy. The data has classified into Histoite Software. The data so retrieved were downloaded and later imported into a database management system for data cleaning and coding. In data cleaning, all duplicate records as well records pertaining to publication years not under the purview of our study, were eliminated It is also analytical in nature in strengthening the empirical validity due to application of suitable statistical tools.

DATA ANALYSIS

1. Growth of Publications and Citation Scores

Table-1 shows that a chronological histogram of citations, demonstrating that citation frequency grew steadily from 1999; it reached a maximum GCS of 1439 in 2009 and LCS of 57 in 2009. The highest publication is 189 in 2012 with 170 Global Citation Scores followed by 166 papers in 2011 with 719 Global Citation Score and 123 papers in 2010 with 1241 Global Citation Scores. The lowest publication is 18 in 1999 with 83 Global Citation Scores. It shows that even minimum numbers of records were scored higher global citations. The study also reveals all these 1105 publications have 34493 cited references it shows that there is a healthy trend in citing reference is found among the Indian Scientists belongs to Green Energy.

Table 1: shows Year wise Distribution of Publication and Citation scores

S.No	Year	No. of Papers	% of Papers	TLCS	TGCS
1	1999	18	1.6	4	83
2	2000	27	2.4	11	461
3	2001	27	2.4	13	1170
4	2002	22	2.0	14	329
5	2003	27	2.4	11	895
6	2004	38	3.4	19	964
7	2005	52	4.7	26	896
8	2006	46	4.2	26	767
9	2007	77	7.0	45	1295
10	2008	88	8.0	34	1275
11	2009	116	10.5	57	1439
12	2010	123	11.1	49	1241
13	2011	166	15.0	41	719
14	2012	189	17.1	9	170
15	2013	89	8.1	1	13
	Total	1105	100		

2. Document wise Distribution of Publications

A study of data in table-2 indicates the Document wise distribution of research output in Green Energy. This study has observed a total of 1105 publications in Green Energy during the period of fifteen years from 1999 to 2013. Out of various sources of publications in Green Energy, journal articles that appeared in the journals have shown a predominant contribution (91.9%) with Global citation score is 10076 and this source occupies the first position. The source of review comes second in order (4.5%) of

sharing total research output in Green Energy during

the period of analysis.

Table 2: shows Document wise distribution of Publications

S.No	Document Type	Recs	Cum	%	%Cum	TLCS	TGCS
1	Article	1016	-	91.9	-	349	10076
2	Review	50	1066	4.5	96.47	8	1417
3	Article; Proceedings Paper	35	1101	3.2	99.63	3	220
4	Editorial Material	2	1103	0.2	99.81	0	1
5	Meeting Abstract	1	1104	0.1	99.90	0	0
6	Review; Book Chapter	1	1105	0.1	100	0	3
	Total	1105		100		360	11717

3. AUTHORSHIP PATTERN

The authorship pattern in green energy literature reveals the following facts. The present study brings papers under analysis contributed by one author to ten authors. Tables-3 indicates that the three author's papers rank first in order (27.76%), where as two authors papers obtain the second order of priority (25.70%) and four authors papers obtain the third

Table -3 shows distribution of Authorship Patten

Authorship Patten	Public- ations	Cum. output	%	Cum %
Single Author	59	59	5.33	5.33
Double Author	284	343	25.70	31.04
Three Authors	307	650	27.78	58.82
Four Authors	206	856	18.64	77.46
Five Authors	110	966	9.95	87.42
Six Authors	64	1030	5.79	93.21
Seven Authors	28	1058	2.53	95.74
Eight Authors	18	1076	1.62	97.37
Nine Authors	14	1090	1.26	98.64
Ten & above	15	1105	1.35	100
Total	1105		100	

order of priority (18.64%). The single author papers record the seventh order of priority (5.33%). The present study brings papers under analysis contributed by one author to ten authors. It is noticed that from four author papers to ten authors' papers, the trend in number of publications has reduced. It is noticed that from five authors to ten authors papers, the trend in number of publication has reduced

4.4 Ranking of Authors based on Publications

Table- 4 indicates ranking of authors by number of publications. Authors "Rai SB" published highest number of articles for the study period with 29 records; next consecutive authors Kumar R. are published next highest number of articles for the study period with 23 records. Das Dhaving highest Global Citation Scores of 736 with just 6 publications followed by Mittal. Ahaving Global Citation Score of 389 with just 8 publications, while Kakani SL having lowest Global Citation Score of 7 with just 9 publications. Thus the most-cited authors are distinguished from the most-published ones. It is found from the analysis that Lotka's law may not be applicable with regard to author productivity in proliferation of research in Green Energy as the research papers equally distributed by a large number of authors.

Table 4: shows Ranking of Authors productivity

S.N	Author	Recs	%	Cum	TLCS	TLCS/t	TLCSx	TGCS	TGCS/t	TLCR
1	Rai SB	29	2.6	-	15	2.53	4	269	53.84	12
2	Kumar R	23	2.1	52	15	2.96	4	147	26.52	7
3	Kumar A	18	1.6	70	2	0.31	1	112	17.95	4
4	Maiti SK	15	1.4	85	6	1.20	0	106	21.62	6
5	Buddhudu S	14	1.3	99	4	0.49	0	165	20.33	4
6	Kanoria M	14	1.3	113	18	3.27	0	61	11.70	20
7	Rout GC	14	1.3	127	10	1.46	0	41	7.38	10

8 Singh A 12 1.1 139 10 3.00 4 71 17.32 8 9 Tyagi AK 12 1.1 161 1 0.33 0 45 11.92 1 10 Ajay 11 1.0 172 5 0.57 0 21 1.95 5 11 Karmakar B 11 1.0 183 19 2.81 1 162 28.61 19 12 Ahmed J 10 0.9 193 26 20.3 9 229 19.44 16 13 Chowdhury S 10 0.9 203 27 7.67 0 203 58.67 36 14 Rai DK 10 0.9 223 21 1.61 7 201 17.55 16 15 Shivhare US 10 0.9 223 21 1.61 7 201 1.73.55 16 16											
10	8	Singh A	12	1.1	139	10	3.00	4	71	17.32	8
11 Karmakar B	9	Tyagi AK	12	1.1	161	1	0.33	0	45	11.92	1
12 Ahmed J	10	Ajay	11	1.0	172	5	0.57	0	21	1.95	5
13 Chowdhury S 10 0.9 203 27 7.67 0 203 58.67 36 14 Rai DK 10 0.9 213 8 1.20 2 134 22.25 3 15 Shivhare US 10 0.9 223 21 1.61 7 201 17.35 16 16 Yadav GD 10 0.9 233 4 0.92 0 68 11.85 4 17 Behera SN 9 0.8 242 8 1.11 0 28 4.40 4 18 Ghosh S 9 0.8 251 0 0.00 0 22 4.21 2 19 Kakani SL 9 0.8 251 0 0.00 0 2 4.21 2 19 Kakani SL 9 0.8 260 4 0.70 0 7 1.23 4 20 Mukhopadhyay S 9 0.8 269 7 1.03 5 41 6.99 2 21 Das S 8 0.7 277 1 0.11 0 104 17.69 1 22 Dwivedi Y 8 0.7 285 4 0.98 0 55 12.55 4 23 Kumar S 8 0.7 293 1 0.20 1 48 10.70 0 24 Mittal A 8 0.7 301 21 3.08 12 389 64.35 11 25 Saha P 8 0.7 325 9 1.77 0 118 23.82 17 28 Dhar A 7 0.6 332 1 0.17 0 217 37.74 1 29 Giri NK 7 0.6 332 1 0.17 0 217 37.74 1 29 Giri NK 7 0.6 332 1 0.17 0 217 37.74 1 29 Giri NK 7 0.6 333 3 0.58 2 92 17.28 2 30 Gupta N 7 0.6 346 1 0.25 0 66 10.67 8 31 Jayasankar CK 7 0.6 333 3 0.54 2 47 8.98 4 32 Kumar K 7 0.6 333 3 0.54 2 47 8.98 4 33 Ningthoujam RS 7 0.6 360 2 0.48 1 67 14.95 2 34 Quraishi MA 7 0.6 374 7 2.25 1 44 11.00 6 35 Rai A 7 0.6 381 3 0.53 1 65 12.62 2 36 Singh P 7 0.6 388 2 0.60 1 31 5.60 6 37 Adhikari AV 6 0.5 406 3 0.49 3 736 63.56 0 41 Joshi SK 6 0.5 448 2 0.00 0 0 36 8.50 1 44 Kumar P 6 0.5 448 2 0.25 0 52 46.10 7 45 Mittal J 6 0.5 448 2 0.25 0 54 40 6 2 47 Sharma RK 6 0.5 446 11 1.99 4 87 16.03 0	11	Karmakar B	11	1.0	183	19	2.81	1	162	28.61	19
14 Rai DK 10 0.9 213 8 1.20 2 134 22.25 3 15 Shivhare US 10 0.9 223 21 1.61 7 201 17.35 16 16 Yadav GD 10 0.9 233 4 0.92 0 68 11.85 4 17 Behera SN 9 0.8 242 8 1.11 0 28 4.40 4 4 18 Ghosh S 9 0.8 251 0 0.00 0 22 4.21 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	12	Ahmed J	10	0.9	193	26	2.03	9	229	19.44	16
15 Shivhare US	13	Chowdhury S	10	0.9	203	27	7.67	0	203	58.67	36
16 Yadav GD	14	Rai DK	10	0.9	213	8	1.20	2	134	22.25	3
17 Behera SN 9 0.8 242 8 1.11 0 28 4.40 4 18 Ghosh S 9 0.8 251 0 0.00 0 0 22 4.21 2 2 19 Kakani SL 9 0.8 260 4 0.70 0 7 1.23 4 20 Mukhopadhyay S 9 0.8 269 7 1.03 5 41 6.99 2 21 Das S 8 0.7 277 1 0.11 0 104 17.69 1 22 Dwivedi Y 8 0.7 285 4 0.98 0 55 12.55 4 4 3.08 12 389 64.35 11 25 Saha P 8 0.7 301 21 3.08 12 389 64.35 11 25 Saha P 8 0.7 301 21 3.08 12 389 64.35 11 25 Saha P 8 0.7 307 309 26 7.25 1 196 55.33 19 26 Singh SK 8 0.7 317 3 0.45 1 65 12.07 2 27 Som T 8 0.7 325 9 1.77 0 118 23.82 17 28 Dhar A 7 0.6 332 1 0.17 0 217 37.74 1 29 Giri NK 7 0.6 339 3 0.58 2 92 17.28 2 30 Gupta N 7 0.6 346 1 0.25 0 66 10.67 8 31 Jayasankar CK 7 0.6 367 3 0.54 2 47 8.98 4 32 Kumar K 7 0.6 367 3 0.75 0 55 14.75 4 34 Quraishi MA 7 0.6 374 7 2.25 1 44 11.00 6 35 Rai A 7 0.6 388 2 0.60 1 31 5.60 6 37 Adhikari AV 6 0.5 394 8 1.05 0 65 9.65 7 38 Annapurna K 6 0.5 400 3 0.60 2 37 5.51 2 2 39 Das D 6 0.5 442 0 0.00 0 20 4.83 0 41 Joshi SK 6 0.5 440 3 0.34 0 39 6.14 5 48 50 49 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	15	Shivhare US	10	0.9	223	21	1.61	7	201	17.35	16
18 Ghosh S	16	Yadav GD	10	0.9	233	4	0.92	0	68	11.85	4
19 Kakani SL 9 0.8 260 4 0.70 0 7 1.23 4 20 Mukhopadhyay S 9 0.8 269 7 1.03 5 41 6.99 2 21 Das S 8 0.7 277 1 0.11 0 104 17.69 1 1.25 4 1.25 1.25 4 1.25	17	Behera SN	9	0.8	242	8	1.11	0	28	4.40	4
20 Mukhopadhyay S 9 0.8 269 7 1.03 5 41 6.99 2 2 2 Das S 8 0.7 277 1 0.11 0 104 17.69 1 1 2 2 Dwivedi Y 8 0.7 285 4 0.98 0 55 12.55 4 4 3 3 4 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 4	18	Ghosh S	9	0.8	251	0	0.00	0	22	4.21	2
21 Das S 8 0.7 277 1 0.11 0 104 17.69 1 1 22 Dwivedi Y 8 0.7 285 4 0.98 0 55 12.55 4 4 3.88 1.88	19	Kakani SL	9	0.8	260	4	0.70	0	7	1.23	4
22 Dwivedi Y 8 0.7 285 4 0.98 0 55 12.55 4 23 Kumar S 8 0.7 293 1 0.20 1 48 10.70 0 24 Mittal A 8 0.7 301 21 3.08 12 389 64.35 11 25 Saha P 8 0.7 309 26 7.25 1 196 55.33 19 26 Singh SK 8 0.7 317 3 0.45 1 65 12.07 2 27 Som T 8 0.7 325 9 1.77 0 118 23.82 17 28 Dhar A 7 0.6 332 1 0.17 0 217 37.74 1 2 9 17.28 2 2 17.28 2 2 17.28 2 30 Gupta N 7 0.6 336	20	Mukhopadhyay S	9	0.8	269	7	1.03	5	41	6.99	2
23 Kumar S 8 0.7 293 1 0.20 1 48 10.70 0 24 Mittal A 8 0.7 301 21 3.08 12 389 64.35 11 25 Saha P 8 0.7 309 26 7.25 1 196 55.33 19 26 Singh SK 8 0.7 317 3 0.45 1 65 12.07 2 27 Som T 8 0.7 325 9 1.77 0 118 23.82 17 28 Dhar A 7 0.6 332 1 0.17 0 217 37.74 1 29 Giri NK 7 0.6 339 3 0.58 2 92 17.28 2 30 Gupta N 7 0.6 346 1 0.25 0 66 10.67 8 31 Jayasankar CK 7 0.6 360 2 0.48 1 67 14.95 2 33 Ningthoujam RS 7 0.6 367 3 0.75 0 55 14.75 4 34 Quraishi MA 7 0.6 381 3 0.53 1 65 12.62 2 36 Singh P 7 0.6 388 2 0.60 1 31 5.60 6 37 Adhikari AV 6 0.5 394 8 1.05 0 65 9.65 7 38 Annapurna K 6 0.5 400 3 0.49 3 736 63.56 0 40 Dutta DP 6 0.5 412 0 0.00 0 20 4.83 0 41 Joshi SK 6 0.5 448 2 0.25 0 52 9.26 2 43 Singh AK 6 0.5 448 2 0.25 0 52 9.26 2 44 Kumar P 6 0.5 448 2 0.25 0 52 9.26 2 45 Mittal J 6 0.5 448 2 0.25 0 54 0 7 46 Rai VK 6 0.5 446 1 0.25 0 14 4.66 2 48 Singh AK 6 0.5 446 1 0.25 0 14 4.66 2 49 Singh K 6 0.5 446 1 0.25 0 14 4.66 2 49 Singh K 6 0.5 446 1 0.25 0 14 4.66 2 49 Singh K 6 0.5 446 1 0.25 0 14 4.66 2 49 Singh K 6 0.5 446 1 0.25 0 14 4.66 2 49 Singh K 6 0.5 446 3 0.34 0 39 6.14 5	21	Das S	8	0.7	277	1	0.11	0	104	17.69	1
24 Mittal A 8 0.7 301 21 3.08 12 389 64.35 11 25 Saha P 8 0.7 309 26 7.25 1 196 55.33 19 26 Singh SK 8 0.7 317 3 0.45 1 65 12.07 2 27 Som T 8 0.7 317 3 0.45 1 65 12.07 2 28 Dhar A 7 0.6 332 1 0.17 0 217 37.74 1 29 Giri NK 7 0.6 339 3 0.58 2 92 17.28 2 30 Gupta N 7 0.6 346 1 0.25 0 66 10.67 8 31 Jayasankar CK 7 0.6 353 3 0.54 2 47 8.98 4 32 Kumar K	22	Dwivedi Y	8	0.7	285	4	0.98	0	55	12.55	4
25 Saha P 8 0.7 309 26 7.25 1 196 55.33 19 26 Singh SK 8 0.7 317 3 0.45 1 65 12.07 2 27 Som T 8 0.7 325 9 1.77 0 118 23.82 17 28 Dhar A 7 0.6 332 1 0.17 0 217 37.74 1 29 Giri NK 7 0.6 339 3 0.58 2 92 17.28 2 30 Gupta N 7 0.6 346 1 0.25 0 66 10.67 8 31 Jayasankar CK 7 0.6 360 2 0.48 1 67 14.95 2 33 Ningthoujam RS 7 0.6 360 2 0.48 1 67 14.95 2 33 Ningthoujam	23	Kumar S	8	0.7	293	1	0.20	1	48	10.70	0
26 Singh SK 8 0.7 317 3 0.45 1 65 12.07 2 27 Som T 8 0.7 325 9 1.77 0 118 23.82 17 28 Dhar A 7 0.6 332 1 0.17 0 217 37.74 1 29 Giri NK 7 0.6 339 3 0.58 2 92 17.28 2 30 Gupta N 7 0.6 346 1 0.25 0 66 10.67 8 31 Jayasankar CK 7 0.6 360 2 0.48 1 67 14.95 2 33 Ningthoujam RS 7 0.6 360 2 0.48 1 67 14.95 2 33 Ningthoujam RS 7 0.6 367 3 0.75 0 55 14.75 4 34 Qurais	24	Mittal A	8	0.7	301	21	3.08	12	389	64.35	11
27 Som T 8 0.7 325 9 1.77 0 118 23.82 17 28 Dhar A 7 0.6 332 1 0.17 0 217 37.74 1 29 Giri NK 7 0.6 339 3 0.58 2 92 17.28 2 30 Gupta N 7 0.6 346 1 0.25 0 66 10.67 8 31 Jayasankar CK 7 0.6 353 3 0.54 2 47 8.98 4 32 Kumar K 7 0.6 360 2 0.48 1 67 14.95 2 33 Ningthoujam RS 7 0.6 367 3 0.75 0 55 14.75 4 34 Quraishi MA 7 0.6 374 7 2.25 1 44 11.00 6 35 Rai A	25	Saha P	8	0.7	309	26	7.25	1	196	55.33	19
28 Dhar A 7 0.6 332 1 0.17 0 217 37.74 1 29 Giri NK 7 0.6 339 3 0.58 2 92 17.28 2 30 Gupta N 7 0.6 346 1 0.25 0 66 10.67 8 31 Jayasankar CK 7 0.6 353 3 0.54 2 47 8.98 4 32 Kumar K 7 0.6 360 2 0.48 1 67 14.95 2 33 Ningthoujam RS 7 0.6 367 3 0.75 0 55 14.75 4 34 Quraishi MA 7 0.6 381 3 0.53 1 65 12.62 2 36 Singh P 7 0.6 388 2 0.60 1 31 5.60 6 37 Adhikari AV<	26	Singh SK	8	0.7	317	3	0.45	1	65	12.07	2
29 Giri NK 7 0.6 339 3 0.58 2 92 17.28 2 30 Gupta N 7 0.6 346 1 0.25 0 66 10.67 8 31 Jayasankar CK 7 0.6 353 3 0.54 2 47 8.98 4 32 Kumar K 7 0.6 360 2 0.48 1 67 14.95 2 33 Ningthoujam RS 7 0.6 367 3 0.75 0 55 14.75 4 34 Quraishi MA 7 0.6 387 3 0.75 0 55 14.75 4 34 Quraishi MA 7 0.6 381 3 0.53 1 65 12.62 2 36 Singh P 7 0.6 388 2 0.60 1 31 5.60 6 37 Adhikari	27	Som T	8	0.7	325	9	1.77	0	118	23.82	17
30 Gupta N 7 0.6 346 1 0.25 0 66 10.67 8 31 Jayasankar CK 7 0.6 353 3 0.54 2 47 8.98 4 32 Kumar K 7 0.6 360 2 0.48 1 67 14.95 2 33 Ningthoujam RS 7 0.6 367 3 0.75 0 55 14.75 4 34 Quraishi MA 7 0.6 374 7 2.25 1 44 11.00 6 35 Rai A 7 0.6 381 3 0.53 1 65 12.62 2 2 36 Singh P 7 0.6 388 2 0.60 1 31 5.60 6 37 Adhikari AV 6 0.5 394 8 1.05 0 65 9.65 7 38 Annapurna K 6 0.5 400 3 0.60 2 37 5.51 2 39 Das D 6 0.5 406 3 0.49 3 736 63.56 0 40 Dutta DP 6 0.5 412 0 0.00 0 20 4.83 0 41 Joshi SK 6 0.5 424 0 0.00 0 20 4.83 0 42 Karmakar SN 6 0.5 436 0 0.00 0 3 21 6.92 1 44 Kumar P 6 0.5 442 12 1.95 5 292 46.10 7 46 Rai VK 6 0.5 448 2 0.25 0 52 9.26 2 48 Singh AK 6 0.5 460 11 1.99 4 87 16.03 0 49 Singh K 6 0.5 466 3 0.34 0 39 6.14 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	28	Dhar A	7	0.6	332	1	0.17	0	217	37.74	1
31 Jayasankar CK 7 0.6 353 3 0.54 2 47 8.98 4 32 Kumar K 7 0.6 360 2 0.48 1 67 14.95 2 33 Ningthoujam RS 7 0.6 367 3 0.75 0 55 14.75 4 34 Quraishi MA 7 0.6 374 7 2.25 1 44 11.00 6 35 Rai A 7 0.6 381 3 0.53 1 65 12.62 2 36 Singh P 7 0.6 388 2 0.60 1 31 5.60 6 37 Adhikari AV 6 0.5 394 8 1.05 0 65 9.65 7 38 Annapurna K 6 0.5 400 3 0.60 2 37 5.51 2 39 Das D <td>29</td> <td>Giri NK</td> <td>7</td> <td>0.6</td> <td>339</td> <td>3</td> <td>0.58</td> <td>2</td> <td>92</td> <td>17.28</td> <td>2</td>	29	Giri NK	7	0.6	339	3	0.58	2	92	17.28	2
32 Kumar K 7 0.6 360 2 0.48 1 67 14.95 2 33 Ningthoujam RS 7 0.6 367 3 0.75 0 55 14.75 4 34 Quraishi MA 7 0.6 374 7 2.25 1 44 11.00 6 35 Rai A 7 0.6 381 3 0.53 1 65 12.62 2 36 Singh P 7 0.6 388 2 0.60 1 31 5.60 6 37 Adhikari AV 6 0.5 394 8 1.05 0 65 9.65 7 38 Annapurna K 6 0.5 400 3 0.60 2 37 5.51 2 39 Das D 6 0.5 406 3 0.49 3 736 63.56 0 40 Dutta DP	30	Gupta N	7	0.6	346	1	0.25	0	66	10.67	8
33 Ningthoujam RS 7 0.6 367 3 0.75 0 55 14.75 4 34 Quraishi MA 7 0.6 374 7 2.25 1 44 11.00 6 35 Rai A 7 0.6 381 3 0.53 1 65 12.62 2 36 Singh P 7 0.6 388 2 0.60 1 31 5.60 6 37 Adhikari AV 6 0.5 394 8 1.05 0 65 9.65 7 38 Annapurna K 6 0.5 400 3 0.60 2 37 5.51 2 39 Das D 6 0.5 406 3 0.49 3 736 63.56 0 40 Dutta DP 6 0.5 412 0 0.00 0 20 4.83 0 41 Joshi SK	31	Jayasankar CK	7	0.6	353	3	0.54	2	47	8.98	4
34 Quraishi MA 7 0.6 374 7 2.25 1 44 11.00 6 35 Rai A 7 0.6 381 3 0.53 1 65 12.62 2 36 Singh P 7 0.6 388 2 0.60 1 31 5.60 6 37 Adhikari AV 6 0.5 394 8 1.05 0 65 9.65 7 38 Annapurna K 6 0.5 400 3 0.60 2 37 5.51 2 39 Das D 6 0.5 406 3 0.49 3 736 63.56 0 40 Dutta DP 6 0.5 412 0 0.00 0 20 4.83 0 41 Joshi SK 6 0.5 418 3 0.26 1 35 2.68 0 42 Karmakar SN	32	Kumar K	7	0.6	360	2	0.48	1	67	14.95	2
35 Rai A 7 0.6 381 3 0.53 1 65 12.62 2 36 Singh P 7 0.6 388 2 0.60 1 31 5.60 6 37 Adhikari AV 6 0.5 394 8 1.05 0 65 9.65 7 38 Annapurna K 6 0.5 400 3 0.60 2 37 5.51 2 39 Das D 6 0.5 406 3 0.49 3 736 63.56 0 40 Dutta DP 6 0.5 412 0 0.00 0 20 4.83 0 41 Joshi SK 6 0.5 418 3 0.26 1 35 2.68 0 42 Karmakar SN 6 0.5 424 0 0.00 0 15 4.07 2 43 Kumar M <	33	Ningthoujam RS	7	0.6	367	3	0.75	0	55	14.75	4
36 Singh P 7 0.6 388 2 0.60 1 31 5.60 6 37 Adhikari AV 6 0.5 394 8 1.05 0 65 9.65 7 38 Annapurna K 6 0.5 400 3 0.60 2 37 5.51 2 39 Das D 6 0.5 406 3 0.49 3 736 63.56 0 40 Dutta DP 6 0.5 412 0 0.00 0 20 4.83 0 41 Joshi SK 6 0.5 418 3 0.26 1 35 2.68 0 41 Joshi SK 6 0.5 418 3 0.26 1 35 2.68 0 42 Karmakar SN 6 0.5 424 0 0.00 0 15 4.07 2 43 Kumar M	34	Quraishi MA	7	0.6	374	7	2.25	1	44	11.00	6
37 Adhikari AV 6 0.5 394 8 1.05 0 65 9.65 7 38 Annapurna K 6 0.5 400 3 0.60 2 37 5.51 2 39 Das D 6 0.5 406 3 0.49 3 736 63.56 0 40 Dutta DP 6 0.5 412 0 0.00 0 20 4.83 0 41 Joshi SK 6 0.5 418 3 0.26 1 35 2.68 0 42 Karmakar SN 6 0.5 424 0 0.00 0 15 4.07 2 43 Kumar M 6 0.5 430 3 1.00 3 21 6.92 1 44 Kumar P 6 0.5 436 0 0.00 0 36 8.50 1 45 Mittal J	35	Rai A	7	0.6	381	3	0.53	1	65	12.62	2
38 Annapurna K 6 0.5 400 3 0.60 2 37 5.51 2 39 Das D 6 0.5 406 3 0.49 3 736 63.56 0 40 Dutta DP 6 0.5 412 0 0.00 0 20 4.83 0 41 Joshi SK 6 0.5 418 3 0.26 1 35 2.68 0 42 Karmakar SN 6 0.5 424 0 0.00 0 15 4.07 2 43 Kumar M 6 0.5 430 3 1.00 3 21 6.92 1 44 Kumar P 6 0.5 436 0 0.00 0 36 8.50 1 45 Mittal J 6 0.5 442 12 1.95 5 292 46.10 7 46 Rai VK <	36	Singh P	7	0.6	388	2	0.60	1	31	5.60	6
39 Das D 6 0.5 406 3 0.49 3 736 63.56 0 40 Dutta DP 6 0.5 412 0 0.00 0 20 4.83 0 41 Joshi SK 6 0.5 418 3 0.26 1 35 2.68 0 42 Karmakar SN 6 0.5 424 0 0.00 0 15 4.07 2 43 Kumar M 6 0.5 430 3 1.00 3 21 6.92 1 44 Kumar P 6 0.5 436 0 0.00 0 36 8.50 1 45 Mittal J 6 0.5 442 12 1.95 5 292 46.10 7 46 Rai VK 6 0.5 448 2 0.25 0 52 9.26 2 47 Sharma RK <td< td=""><td>37</td><td>Adhikari AV</td><td>6</td><td>0.5</td><td>394</td><td>8</td><td>1.05</td><td>0</td><td>65</td><td>9.65</td><td></td></td<>	37	Adhikari AV	6	0.5	394	8	1.05	0	65	9.65	
40 Dutta DP 6 0.5 412 0 0.00 0 20 4.83 0 41 Joshi SK 6 0.5 418 3 0.26 1 35 2.68 0 42 Karmakar SN 6 0.5 424 0 0.00 0 15 4.07 2 43 Kumar M 6 0.5 430 3 1.00 3 21 6.92 1 44 Kumar P 6 0.5 436 0 0.00 0 36 8.50 1 45 Mittal J 6 0.5 442 12 1.95 5 292 46.10 7 46 Rai VK 6 0.5 448 2 0.25 0 52 9.26 2 47 Sharma RK 6 0.5 454 1 0.25 0 14 4.66 2 48 Singh K <td< td=""><td>38</td><td>Annapurna K</td><td>6</td><td>0.5</td><td>400</td><td></td><td>0.60</td><td>2</td><td>37</td><td>5.51</td><td>2</td></td<>	38	Annapurna K	6	0.5	400		0.60	2	37	5.51	2
41 Joshi SK 6 0.5 418 3 0.26 1 35 2.68 0 42 Karmakar SN 6 0.5 424 0 0.00 0 15 4.07 2 43 Kumar M 6 0.5 430 3 1.00 3 21 6.92 1 44 Kumar P 6 0.5 436 0 0.00 0 36 8.50 1 45 Mittal J 6 0.5 442 12 1.95 5 292 46.10 7 46 Rai VK 6 0.5 448 2 0.25 0 52 9.26 2 47 Sharma RK 6 0.5 454 1 0.25 0 14 4.66 2 48 Singh AK 6 0.5 460 11 1.99 4 87 16.03 0 49 Singh K 6 0.5 466 3 0.34 0 39 6.14 5 <td>39</td> <td>Das D</td> <td>6</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>406</td> <td></td> <td>0.49</td> <td>3</td> <td>736</td> <td>63.56</td> <td>0</td>	39	Das D	6	0.5	406		0.49	3	736	63.56	0
42 Karmakar SN 6 0.5 424 0 0.00 0 15 4.07 2 43 Kumar M 6 0.5 430 3 1.00 3 21 6.92 1 44 Kumar P 6 0.5 436 0 0.00 0 36 8.50 1 45 Mittal J 6 0.5 442 12 1.95 5 292 46.10 7 46 Rai VK 6 0.5 448 2 0.25 0 52 9.26 2 47 Sharma RK 6 0.5 454 1 0.25 0 14 4.66 2 48 Singh AK 6 0.5 460 11 1.99 4 87 16.03 0 49 Singh K 6 0.5 466 3 0.34 0 39 6.14 5	40	Dutta DP	6	0.5	412		0.00	0		4.83	0
43 Kumar M 6 0.5 430 3 1.00 3 21 6.92 1 44 Kumar P 6 0.5 436 0 0.00 0 36 8.50 1 45 Mittal J 6 0.5 442 12 1.95 5 292 46.10 7 46 Rai VK 6 0.5 448 2 0.25 0 52 9.26 2 47 Sharma RK 6 0.5 454 1 0.25 0 14 4.66 2 48 Singh AK 6 0.5 460 11 1.99 4 87 16.03 0 49 Singh K 6 0.5 466 3 0.34 0 39 6.14 5	41	Joshi SK	6	0.5	418		0.26	1	35	2.68	
44 Kumar P 6 0.5 436 0 0.00 0 36 8.50 1 45 Mittal J 6 0.5 442 12 1.95 5 292 46.10 7 46 Rai VK 6 0.5 448 2 0.25 0 52 9.26 2 47 Sharma RK 6 0.5 454 1 0.25 0 14 4.66 2 48 Singh AK 6 0.5 460 11 1.99 4 87 16.03 0 49 Singh K 6 0.5 466 3 0.34 0 39 6.14 5	42	Karmakar SN	6	0.5	424		0.00			4.07	2
45 Mittal J 6 0.5 442 12 1.95 5 292 46.10 7 46 Rai VK 6 0.5 448 2 0.25 0 52 9.26 2 47 Sharma RK 6 0.5 454 1 0.25 0 14 4.66 2 48 Singh AK 6 0.5 460 11 1.99 4 87 16.03 0 49 Singh K 6 0.5 466 3 0.34 0 39 6.14 5											
46 Rai VK 6 0.5 448 2 0.25 0 52 9.26 2 47 Sharma RK 6 0.5 454 1 0.25 0 14 4.66 2 48 Singh AK 6 0.5 460 11 1.99 4 87 16.03 0 49 Singh K 6 0.5 466 3 0.34 0 39 6.14 5	44	Kumar P	6	0.5	436	0	0.00	0		8.50	1
47 Sharma RK 6 0.5 454 1 0.25 0 14 4.66 2 48 Singh AK 6 0.5 460 11 1.99 4 87 16.03 0 49 Singh K 6 0.5 466 3 0.34 0 39 6.14 5	45										
48 Singh AK 6 0.5 460 11 1.99 4 87 16.03 0 49 Singh K 6 0.5 466 3 0.34 0 39 6.14 5	46				448	2	0.25	0		9.26	
49 Singh K 6 0.5 466 3 0.34 0 39 6.14 5	47				454		0.25			4.66	
	48	Singh AK	6	0.5	460	11	1.99	4	87	16.03	0
50 Singh S 6 0.5 472 0 0.00 0 15 3.33 0	49	Singh K	6	0.5	466	3	0.34	0	39	6.14	5
	50	Singh S	6	0.5	472	0	0.00	0	15	3.33	0

5. Journal wise Distribution of Publications

The study found that the total research output of the Green Energy for the study period (1999 – 2013) published in 86 journals. As the major portion of the research productivity (27.8%) covered by 25 journals

that is coincide with the theory of Bradford's Law of scattering of journals in research productivity. Top ten produced mostly 15% of the research output. The journal "JOURNAL OF LUMINESCENCE" topped with 23 publications with the Global Citation Score of 249, next "SPECTROCHIMICA ACTA PART A-

MOLECULAR AND BIOMOLECULAR SPECTROSCOPY" has 22 publications with the Global Citation Score of 116 and "JOURNAL OF APPLIED PHYSICS" with 19 publications with the Global Citation Score of 175 respectively. "JOURNAL OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS" has

scored the highest Global Citation Score of 825 with 17 publications while "INDIAN JOURNAL OF PHYSICS AND PROCEEDINGS OF THE INDIAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE CULTIVATION OF SCIENCE" has scored a Global Citation Score of 2 with just 5 records.

Table 5: Distribution of Green Energy in Journal Publications

S.N	Journal	Recs	%	TLCS	TLCS/t	TGCS	TGCS/t	TLCR
1	Journal of Buminescence	23	2.1	11	2.24	249	47.03	10
2	Spectrochimica Acta Part A-Molecular	22	2.0	5	0.85	116	20.63	19
	And Biomolecular Spectroscopy							
3	Journal of Applied Physics	19	1.7	0	0.00	175	34.80	3
4	Indian Journal of Animal Sciences	18	1.6	1	0.07	15	1.25	4
5	Physica B-Condensed Matter	18	1.6	15	3.04	106	18.47	9
6	Journal of Hazardous Materials	17	1.5	26	3.99	825	136.26	5
7	Materials Letters	14	1.3	3	1.17	126	20.17	2
8	Journal of Alloys And Compounds	12	1.1	4	0.53	266	46.37	2
9	Colloids and surfaces B-biointerfaces	11	1.0	6	2.42	66	21.25	8
10	Indian Journal of Pure & Applied Physics	11	1.0	2	0.27	22	3.54	2
11	Materials Chemistry And Physics	11	1.0	10	1.85	283	36.86	2
12	Asian Journal of Chemistry	10	0.9	0	0.00	0	0.00	5
13	Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal	10	0.9	6	0.56	52	4.66	1
	Sciences							
14	Digest Journal of Nanomaterials And	10	0.9	11	2.35	99	22.43	3
	Biostructures							
15	Journal of Chemical Physics	10	0.9	1	0.08	107	11.46	1
16	Journal of Thermal Stresses	10	0.9	10	1.86	77	10.59	14
17	Optical Materials	10	0.9	9	1.69	123	17.17	4
18	Journal of Food Science And Technology-	9	0.8	2	0.13	16	2.57	0
	Mysore							
19	Journal of Physical Chemistry C	9	0.8	3	0.50	222	39.57	0
20	Physica C-Superconductivity And Its	9	0.8	4	0.42	17	2.19	4
	Applications							
21	Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews	9	0.8	1	0.20	247	60.05	1
22	Solid State Communications	9	0.8	6	1.16	64	11.75	3
23	Current Science	8	0.7	1	0.20	44	6.80	1
24	Energy Conversion And Management	8	0.7	2	0.17	143	12.15	3
25	International Journal of Hydrogen Energy	8	0.7	3	0.30	772	67.22	2
26	Journal of Materials Chemistry	8	0.7	1	0.33	113	17.54	3
27	Journal of Superconductivity And Novel	8	0.7	4	0.70	7	1.23	4
	Magnetism							
28	Physical Review B	8	0.7	1	0.07	68	9.30	0
29	Applied Surface Science	7	0.6	0	0.00	56	11.85	2
30	Bioresource Technology	7	0.6	1	0.33	100	31.79	0
31	Ceramics International	7	0.6	0	0.00	15	3.73	0
32	Journal of Materials Science	7	0.6	2	0.48	22	4.67	1
33	Materials Research Bulletin	7	0.6	1	0.09	66	10.27	1
34	Applied Physics B-Lasers And Optics	6	0.5	1	0.17	90	12.51	1
35	Dalton Transactions	6	0.5	1	0.33	30	8.11	3
36	Journal of Food Engineering	6	0.5	9	0.64	176	20.43	7

37	Journal of Nanoparticle Research	6	0.5	3	1.00	22	7.50	0
38	Journal of Nanoscience And	6	0.5	0	0.00	8	2.20	1
	Nanotechnology							
39	Journal of Physical Chemistry A	6	0.5	2	0.42	35	8.16	3
40	Journal of The Electrochemical Society	6	0.5	1	0.14	97	14.09	1
41	physica e-low-dimensional systems &	6	0.5	3	0.64	23	3.98	7
	nanostructures							
42	Pramana-Journal of Physics	6	0.5	1	0.17	19	3.23	0
43	Chemical Engineering Journal	5	0.5	11	3.00	94	25.25	9
44	Chemical Physics Letters	5	0.5	1	0.14	121	18.37	1
45	Colloids And Surfaces A-Physicochemical	5	0.5	6	1.50	51	13.42	2
	And Engineering Aspects							
46	Crystal Growth & Design	5	0.5	0	0.00	98	15.79	0
47	Indian Journal of Agronomy	5	0.5	0	0.00	16	1.21	0
48	Indian Journal of Physics And Proceedings	5	0.5	1	0.11	2	0.25	1
	of the Indian Association for the							
	Cultivation of Science							
49	Inorganic Chemistry	5	0.5	2	0.67	24	8.75	3
50	Journal of Colloid and Interface Science	5	0.5	3	0.73	70	14.53	3

6 Keywords Appeared in the Publications

Key Word is one of the best indicators to understand and grasp instantaneously the thought content of the papers, methodologies used and areas of research addressed to the high frequency keywords were

Table 6: Key Word Appeared in the Publications

Word	Recs	Percent	TLCS	TGCS
GREEN	178	16.1	132	2140
SYNTHESIS	128	11.6	41	1040
ENERGY	109	9.9	20	796
PROPERTIES	105	9.5	26	804
NANOPARTICLES	94	8.5	41	635
USING	91	8.2	49	887
EFFECT	88	8.0	15	431
DOPED	86	7.8	52	834
OPTICAL	62	5.6	17	465
SILVER	51	4.6	23	285
EMISSION	50	4.5	12	653
LIGHT	48	4.3	9	508
ZNO	47	4.3	11	929
CHARACTERIZATION	46	4.2	15	298
BASED	45	4.1	9	170
STRUCTURAL	44	4.0	5	223
PRODUCTION	43	3.9	11	1131
LUMINESCENCE	41	3.7	15	300
AQUEOUS	39	3.5	34	731
PHOTOLUMINESCENCE	38	3.4	8	329
EXTRACT	37	3.3	29	258
GLASS	37	3.3	22	375
UPCONVERSION	37	3.3	30	451
TEMPERATURE	36	3.3	12	179

"GREEN" is topped with 178 publications with the Global Citation Score of 2140, next "SYNTHESIS" with the Global Citation Score of 1040 respectively. "GREEN" has scored the highest Global Citation Score of 2140 with 178 publications

7 Institution wise Distribution of Publications

In general, institutions which are specifically meant for research activities would contribute a greater level of research publications and it is not up to the mark of desired level of expectations in other institutions. The below given table-7 analysis indicates Institution-wise research productivity. It is noted that 860 institutions were contributed 1105 of the total research productivity. It is noted that Central Green Energy Research Institute contributed the highest number of research publications (116) at the same time it ranks first in terms of Global Citation Score 2031.

Table-7 Institution wise Distribution of Publications

S.No	Institution	Recs	%	TLCS	TGCS					
1	Indian InstTechnol	116	10.5	38	2031					
2	Banaras Hindu	49	4.4	29	428					
	Univ									
3	Indian InstSci	36	3.3	9	826					

	T				1
4	Bhabha Atom Res	34	3.1	5	140
	Ctr				
5	Sri	33	3.0	10	277
	VenkateswaraUniv				
6	Univ Delhi	27	2.4	7	224
7	CSIR	22	2.0	7	324
8	SahaInstNuclPhys	22	2.0	7	288
9	Indian	20	1.8	3	326
	AssocCultivatSci				
10	Univ Calcutta	20	1.8	18	80
11	NatlPhys Lab	18	1.6	3	102
12	Anna Univ	17	1.5	3	585
13	NatlInstTechnol	17	1.5	9	161
14	GovindBallabh	16	1.4	7	36
	Pant				
	UnivAgr&Technol				
15	Univ Pune	16	1.4	4	87
16	Indian Vet Res	15	1.4	1	35
	Inst				
17	Cent Glass &	13	1.2	19	236
	Ceram Res Inst				
18	Jawaharlal Nehru	13	1.2	2	209
	CtrAdvSci Res				
19	NarasinhaDuttColl	13	1.2	6	84
20	Guru Nanak	12	1.1	25	179
	DevUniv				
21	Indian InstTechnol	12	1.1	7	280
	Guwahati				

8. Country – wise Collaborative Distribution of Publications

The study of Countrywise distribution of a number of research output is an important factor in highlighting the research and development in any discipline of science. In this context, the analysis of performance of Indian Green Energy scientists is quite obvious with a view to reflect their achievements in attracting the attention of foreigners in terms of published research articles in the journals of various countries.

The below table-8 indicates that among the country wise distribution of Green Energy covered by the study tops India with 1088(98.5%) publications followed by United States of America with 44(4.0%),South Korea with 24(2.2%), Japan with 22(2.0%) andGermany with 17(1.5%) research publications respectively. First place goes to India having total Global Citation Score of 11617 with1088 publications. South Korea secured second rank in terms of GCS with 66 but with only 14 publications and also collaboration with more than 40 Countries.

Table 8: Country – wise Collaborative Distribution of Publications

S.No	Country	Recs	Percent	TLCS	TGCS
1	India	1088	98.5	359	11617

2	USA	44	4.0	9	1294
3	South	24	2.2	5	75
	Korea				
4	Japan	22	2.0	3	333
5	Germany	17	1.5	0	501
6	Canada	14	1.3	17	439
7	Unknown	14	1.3	1	80
8	UK	11	1.0	3	261
9	France	10	0.9	0	57
10	Taiwan	9	0.8	0	55
11	Brazil	8	0.7	0	99
12	Saudi	7	0.6	3	52
	Arabia				
13	South	7	0.6	3	73
	Africa				
14	Spain	6	0.5	1	38

FINDINGS

1. The findings of Indian research productivity in Green Energy has the highest publication as 189 in the year 2012 with 170 Global Citation Scores followed by 166 papers in 2011 with 719 Global Citation Score and 88 papers in 2008 with 1275 Global Citation Scores. The lowest publication is 18 in 1999 with 83 Global Citation Scores.

- 2. The findings of the authorship pattern in Green Energy literature reveal the following facts. The three author's papers rank first in order (27.76%), where as two authors papers obtain the second order of priority (25.70%) and four authors papers obtain the third order of priority (18.64%). The single author papers record the seventh order of priority (5.33%). The present study brings papers under analysis contributed by one author to ten authors. It is noticed that from four author papers to ten authors' papers, the trend in number of publications has reduced. It is noticed that from five authors to ten authors papers, the trend in number of publication has reduced.
- 3. The authorship pattern of Indian research productivity on Green Energy has identified that majority of papers are multi-authored. It is found from the analysis that Lotka's law may not be applicable with regard to author productivity in proliferation of research in Green Energy as the research papers equally distributed by a large number of authors.
- 4. The findings of the Authors "Rai SB" published highest number of articles for the study period with 29 records, next consecutive authors Kumar R. are

published next highest number of articles for the study period with 23 records. Das Dhaving highest Global Citation Scores of 736 with just 6 publications followed by Mittal.Ahaving Global Citation Score of 389 with just 8 publications, while Kakani SL having lowest Global Citation Score of 7 with just 9 publications. Thus the most-cited authors are distinguished from the most-published ones.

- 5. The study found that the total research output of the Green Energy for the study period (1999 2013) published in 86 journals. As the major portion of the research productivity (27.8%) covered by 25 journals that is coincide with the theory of Bradford's Law of scattering of journals in research productivity.
- 6. The findings of highly frequency keywords were "GREEN" is topped with 178 publications with the Global Citation Score of 2140, next "SYNTHESIS" with the Global Citation Score of 1040 respectively. "GREEN" has scored the highest Global Citation Score of 2140 with 178 publications.

CONCLUSION

It concluded quantitatively the contributions made by the Indian researchers during 1999-2013 as reflected in Web of Science database. During 15 years period (1999 –2013) Indian contributions in terms of number of publications is significant. A comparison of Indian output in relation to the world output may help in understanding the contribution in a better angle. Though the records available in the Web of Science database reveal a small number, it is important that the Web of Science covers only the peer-reviewed journals. If a broader coverage database is available, it may provide a reasonable number of papers. Researcher suggest for tracking citation record of papers so that the impact of publications in Green Energy may be visible.

REFERENCES

- 1. Aswathy,S and Gopikuttan,A (2012). Journal of spacecraft and rockets: A scientometric analysis. *SRELS Journal of Information Management*, 49(6),671-682.
- 2. Garg, K.C., Suresh Kumar and Kashmiri Lal (2013). Mapping of Indian Neuroscience research A Scientometric analysis of research output during 1999-2008. *Neurology India*, 58(1), 35-41.
- 3. Gupta, B.M., HarKaur and AvinashKshitig (2012). Dementia research in India: A scientometric analysis

- of research output during 2002-11. *Annals of Library and Information Studies*, 59(4), 280-288.
- 4. Ranganathan, C (2012). Scientometric Dimensions of Plastics Research Literature: A Global Perspective. In.M. Nagarajan, P. Ponnudurai and S. Ravi (Eds.)., UGC-SAP National seminar on "Scientometric and Informetrics" (PP.188-191). Annamalainagar: Annamalai University.
- 5. Pillai Sudhier, K.G and Priyalakshmi, V (2013).Research publication trend among the scientists of Central Tuber Crops Research Institute (CTCRI), Thiruvananthapuram: A Scientometric Study. Annals of Library and Information Studies, 60(1), 7-14.
- 6. Ranganathan, C and R.Balasubramani (2013). Authorship Pattern of Digital Architecture Research Output: A Scientometric Analysis. *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology*, 2(12), 3615-3623.
- **7.** Ranganathan, C and R.Balasubramani (2013). Mapping of Green Chemistry Research in India: A Scientometric Analysis. *Journal of Advances in Library and Information Science*, **2**(4), 221-229.
- 8. Sengar, K.P.S (2012). R & D Performance of CSIR-IMTECH (India): A Scientometric Study based on the Papers published during 1991–1995 and 2005–2009. A Journal of Library and Information Science, 6(3)121-129.
- 9. Ranganathan, C and Balasubramani,R. Authorship Pattern of Digital Architecture Research Output: A Scientometric Analysis. International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology 2.12(2013):3615-3623.
- **10.** Ranganathan, C and Balasubramani, R. "Scientometric Dimension of Digital Architecture Research output Based on Web of Science Database: A Global Perspective'. Asian Journal of Information Science and Technology, 4.1(2014):16-25