## **International Journal of Management (IJM)**

Volume 11, Issue 11, November 2020, pp. 4262-4267, Article ID: IJM\_11\_11\_428 Available online at https://iaeme.com/Home/issue/IJM?Volume=11&Issue=11

ISSN Print: 0976-6502 and ISSN Online: 0976-6510 DOI: https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/MG95X

© IAEME Publication Scopus Indexed

# A STUDY ON INFLUENCE OF LEADERSHIP STYLE ON EMPLOYEE MOTIVATION AMONG SELECTED AUTOMOBILE COMPANIES IN INDIA

#### A. Barkathunisa

Research Scholar, PG & Research Department of Commerce, Bharath College of Science and Management, Thanjavur-613005, (Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Trichy) Tamilnadu, India

#### Dr. K. Kumar

Principal and Research Advisor, PG & Research Department of Commerce, Bharath College of Science and Management, Thanjavur-613005, (Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Trichy) Tamilnadu, India

#### **ABSTRACT**

Leaders with drive, leadership motivation, honesty and integrity, as well as self-confidence, cognitive capacity, and knowledge of corporate leaders, are recognised as those who do the right things, and the art of leading is referred to as leadership. In the current globalized era, the role of leaders is felt in each and every corner of theworld. Effective accomplishment of task, is possible only in the presence of a leader. Thus, leadership styles have turned out to be inevitable. The main idea behind the study is to analyse the type of leaders existing in the field of study. Moreover, it also focuses on the expectations from one's leader. This study attempts to analyse the preferredleadership style among the employees of the chosen automobile firms in Chennai and analyse the gap existing between what exists and what is preferred by the employees. As expected, the preferred style of leadership expected from a leader is people-oriented leadership style. Irrespective of the department, designation, age and qualification it has been found that all categories prefer only people-oriented leadership style as compared to task- oriented leadership style,

**Keywords:** Leader, Leadership Styles, People-oriented Leadership, Task-Oriented Leadership.

**Cite this Article:** A. Barkathunisa and Dr. K. Kumar, A Study on Influence of Leadership Style on Employee Motivation Among Selected Automobile Companies in India, International Journal of Management (IJM), 11(11), 2020, pp. 4262-4267. https://iaeme.com/Home/issue/IJM?Volume=11&Issue=11

## INTRODUCTION

Effective and dynamic leadership is critical to an organization's success. Understandingthe notion of leadership is really crucial. Let us define a leader before moving on to the notion of leadership (Gopinath, 2020a). A leader, according to Cook, Hunsaker, and Coffey, is someone who establishes a vision and goals, then motivates people to willingly commit to those goals. Leaders and non-leaders share a few key characteristics (Gopinath, 2020b). Leaders with drive, leadership motivation, honesty and integrity, as well as self-confidence, cognitive capacity, and knowledge of corporate leaders, are recognised as those who do the right things, and the art of leading is referred to as leadership.

As seen by those they work with and through, a leader's style is the regular behaviour patterns they utilise when working with and through others (Gopinath & Chitra, 2020a). People develop these patterns whenthey learn to react in the same way under comparable circumstances. They form routines, whichmake their acts fairly predictable to others who deal with them (Gopinath, 2019a). Many factors influence leadership, including coworkers, followers, situational variables and job needs, supervisors, and the external environment.

# SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

In the current globalized era, the role of leaders is felt in each and every corner of the world. Effective accomplishment of task, is possible only in the presence of a leader. Leadership behaviour is emphasized to a large extent (Gopinath, 2020c). Thus, leadership styles have turned out to be inevitable. The main idea behind the study is to analyse the type of leaders existing in the field of study. Moreover, it also focuses on the expectations from one's leader.

## STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The success of an organisation is influenced by leadership styles. Leaders must conduct insuch a way that they can only accomplish success in the duties they are tasked with in order togain the members' influence (Gopinath & Chitra, 2020b). As a result, the goal of this research is to identify the many leadership styles that exist inside an organisation. Apart from the above, this research aims to explore the most favoured style of leadership and also the expected leadership styles from their superiors. The above two objectives help in evolve the differences prevailing in the practise and preferred leadership style (Gopinath, 2016a).

## **OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

This study attempts to analyse the preferred leadership style among the employees of the chosen automobile firms in Chennai and analyse the gap existing between what exists andwhat is preferred by the employees.

#### REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Numerous studies have been undertaken throughout the years with the goal of examining how leaders act. Bennis (1999) defines true leadership which has been proved withpositive outcomes such as skills with respect to communication which could really inspire anddelegate, decision-making judgement, the capacity to foster talent, the ability to coach, hiring, firing, budgeting, and performing performance reviews. This list is not complete, but it does highlight two

characteristics of leadership that are both required for effective leadership to occur: task behaviours and relationship behaviours. According to Northouse (2012), how these two traits are displayed determines the degree to which a leader is effective. Situations vary, but each requires a combination of task and relational actions.

Task-related behaviours guarantee that people, equipment, and other resources are used efficiently to achieve goals. Planning, organising, clarifying roles and objectives, monitoring activities, and resolving dispute are all part of meeting these specific objectives. Yukl (2012) offers a variety of research papers for each component behaviour, demonstrating that demonstrating each behaviour may improve leadership effectiveness.

Curral (2009) conducted a study which has attempted to know the influence of task- oriented vs. relationship-oriented leadership on the creation of group performance. The resultshave shown that the leadership style has an influence on the group related behaviour and performance.

## RESEARCH METHODDODLOGY

Descriptive research design has been found to be relevant for this study. The researchtool used was a structured questionnaire was prepared for collecting primary data. Data collection was carried out in automobile firms operating in Chennai. Data collected through Questionnaire was administered in SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences.) by which data was tabulated. Later data was interpreted to emerge with findings.

## **DATA ANALYSIS**

**Leadership Styles** Variables Total **People Oriented Task Oriented** Cooperation 38 22 60 (100)(63)(37)Interaction Facilitation 22 38 60 (37)(63)(100)Goal Achievement 32 28 60 (53)(47)(100)Performance 41 19 60 (68)(32)(100)Sharing of Responsibility 44 16 60

(27)

**Table 1:** Variables influencing style of leadership

Note: Figures in Parenthesis denotes Percentage

It is evident from the above that the that in case of people-oriented style of leadershipthe most important variable is Sharing of responsibility (73%) which is followed by cooperation among the leader and the led (63%). Least influencing variable of people-oriented style of leadership is found to be interaction facilitation (37%). In case of task-oriented style of leadership the most important variable is interaction facilitation (63%) which is followed by goal achievement (47%). Least influencing variable of task-oriented style of leadership is found to be responsibility sharing (27%).

(73)

**Table 2:** Chi Square Test for association between department and preference forleadership style to be adopted by the leaders

T 1 10 C4	-	
Leadership Stv	les	
Leader ship by	ICS	

(100)

Department	People-Oriented Leadership	Task-Oriented Leadership	Total	Chi Square Value	P Value
Production	23	4	27		
	(85)	(15)	(100)		
Administration	15	5	20		
	(75)	(25)	(100)	1.955	<0.001**
Service	9	4	13		
	(69)	(31)	(100)		
Total	47	13	60		
	(78)	(22)	(100)		

**Note:** Figures in Parenthesis denotes Percentage

It is evident from the above that there exists an association between department of employees and their preference for a particular leadership style to be adopted by their leader. Majority of the employees irrespective of their department to which they are attached prefer their leader to exhibit people-oriented leadership style rather than exhibiting task-oriented leadership style. However, on further analysis it can be seen that this preference is higher among employees working with production department as compared to the other two departments.

**Table 3:** Chi Square Test for association between designation and preference forleadership style to be adopted by the leaders

Designation	Leadership Styles		Total	Chi Square	P Value
	People-Oriented Leadership	Task-Oriented Leadership		Value	
Operator	18	4	22		
	(82)	(18)	(100)		
Supervisor	24	14	38		
	(63)	(37)	(100)	3.016	<0.001**
Total	42	18	60		
	(70)	(30)	(100)		

**Note:** Figures in Parenthesis denotes Percentage

It is obvious from the above that there is association between designation of employees and their preference for a particular leadership style to be adopted by their leader. Majority of the employees irrespective of their designation prefer their leader to exhibit people-oriented leadership style rather than exhibiting task-oriented leadership style. However, on further analysis it can be seen that this preference is higher among operators as compared to supervisors.

**Table 4** Chi Square Test for association between age and preference forleadership style to be adopted by the leaders

	Leadersh	Leadership Styles		ar a	
Age	People-Oriented Leadership	Task-Oriented Leadership	Total	Chi Square Value	P Value
< 30 years	25	14	39		
	(64)	(36)	(100)		
> 20	19	2	21		
>30 years	(91)	(9)	(100)	7.322	<0.001**

Total	44	16	60
	(73)	(27)	(100)

**Note:** Figures in Parenthesis denotes Percentage

It is obvious from the above that there exists an association between age of employees and their preference for a particular leadership style to be adopted by their leader. Majority of the employees irrespective of their age prefer their leader to exhibit people-oriented leadershipstyle rather than exhibiting task-oriented leadership style. However, on further analysis it can be seen that this preference is higher among employees who are above 30 years of age.

**Table 5:** Chi Square Test for association between educational qualification and preference for leadership style to be adopted by the leaders

Educational Qualification	Leadersh	Leadership Styles			
	People-Oriented Leadership	Task-Oriented Leadership	Total	Chi Square Value	P Value
Dinlomo	20	4	24		
Diploma	(83)	(17)	(100)		
Graduation	7	8	15		
Graduation	(47)	(53)	(100)	1.955	<0.001**
Professional	17	4	21		
(Production)	(81)	(19)	(100)		
Total	44	16	60		
	(73)	(27)	(100)		

Note: Figures in Parenthesis denotes Percentage

It can be seen from the above that there is association between educational qualification of employees and their preference for a particular leadership style to be adopted by their leader. Majority of the employees irrespective of their age prefer their leader to exhibit people-oriented leadership style rather than exhibiting task-oriented leadership style. However, on further analysis it can be seen that this preference is higher among employees who are either diploma holders or graduates as compared to those who possess professional qualification.

Table 6: Level of consistency between preferred leadership style and existing leadership styles

	Leadership Styles		Preferred Styles		
Personal Details		People	Task	People	Task
1 61801		Oriented	Oriented	Oriented	Oriented
	Production	15	12	22	5
		(56)	(44)	(82)	(18)
Danautmant	Administration	10 10 14 (50) (50) (70)  ice 8 5 8 (62) (38) (62)  9 13 19	14	6	
Department	Administration		(70)	(30)	
	g :	8	5	8	5
	Service	(62)	(38)	(62)	(38)
	Omerator	9	13	People Oriented  22 (82)  14 (70)  8 (62)	3
Dasianatian	Operator	(41)	(59)	(86)	(14)
Designation	Supervisor	24	14	25	13
		(63)	(37)	(66)	(34)
A 000	Dalayy 20 yyaana	25	14	25	14
Age	Below 30 years	(64)	(36)	(64)	(36)

A Study on Influence of Leadership Style on Employee Motivation Among Selected Automobile Companies In India

	A 1 20	8	13	19	2
	Above 30 years	(38)	(62)	(91)	(9)
	D: 1	11	13	20	4
	Diploma	(46)	(54)	(83)	(17)
Ovalification	C 1	13	2	7	8
Qualification	Graduation	(87)	(54) (83) 2 7 (13) (47)	(53)	
	Professional	9	12	17	4
		(42)	(57)	(81)	(19)

Note: Figures in Parenthesis denotes Percentage

The above table analyses the consistency maintained in the leadership styles. Consistency here refers to the balance maintained in the type of leadership style one follows and the one they prefer from their leaders. It is obvious from the above table that irrespective of the demographic variable the most preferred style of leadership is people-oriented style (Gopinath, 2016b).

## FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

As expected, the preferred style of leadership expected from a leader is people-oriented leadership style. Irrespective of the department, designation, age and qualification it has been found that all categories prefer only people-oriented leadership style as compared to task-oriented leadership style (Gopinath, 2020d). The true reasons of the consistency factor disparity can be investigated. The information on preferred leadership styles might be valuable in determininghow satisfied employees are with the organization's leadership patterns (Gopinath, 2019a;2019b). As a result, it can be argued that the research assisted in determining that leadership conduct is distinct. The bulk ofthe responders are employee-focused leaders who provide collaboration to members at all levels, while the rest are task-oriented leaders. Similarly, the majority of them highly prefer towork under a leader who priorities the needs of his or her employees. They have the impressionthat their bosses are looking after them.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bass, B.M. (1996). A new paradigm of leadership: An inquiry into transformational leadership.
- [2] Harper, S. 2012.) The leader coach: A model of multi-style leadership. Journal of Practical Consulting, 4(1), 22-31.
- [3] Northouse, P.G. (2012). Introduction to Leadership: Concepts and Practices (2nd ed.). Sage. Ruggieri, S. (2013). Leadership style, self-sacrifice, and team identification. Social Behavior and Personality, 41(7), 1171-1178.
- [4] Tabernero, C., & Arana, J.M. (2009). The role of task-oriented versus relationship- oriented leadership on normative contract and group performance. Social Behavior and Personality, 37(10), 1391-1404.
- [5] Yukl, G. (2012). Effective leadership behavior: What we know and what questions need more attention. Academy of Management Perspectives, 66-85.