

IMPACT OF MACROECONOMIC VARIABLES ON SELECTED AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES IN MCX

K. Radha

Ph.D. Research Scholar in Management, Shrimati Indira Gandhi College,
(Affiliated to Bharathidasan University), Tiruchirappalli, Tamilnadu, India

Dr.J. Francis Mary

Director, Department of Management Studies, Shrimati Indira Gandhi College,
(Affiliated to Bharathidasan University), Tiruchirappalli, Tamilnadu, India

ABSTRACT

Macroeconomic variables play a vital role in the economic performance of any country. In many analyses, macroeconomic variables help in predicting the time series of stock market. Similarly, this paper tries to analyse the impact of macroeconomic variables on selected agricultural sector in commodity market. This research studies the pattern of inflation rate, IIP and exchange rate in India for the period of 10 years between 2011 -2021 while also analysing the impact of macroeconomic variables on CPO, mentha oil, cardamom and cotton in India. The econometric tools like descriptive statistics, correlation, stepwise regression and unit root test are used in this analysis.

Keywords: CPO, Mentha Oil, Cardamom, Cotton, Inflation Rate, IIP, Exchange Rate.

Cite this Article: K. Radha and Dr.J. Francis Mary, Impact of Macroeconomic Variables on Selected Agricultural Commodities in MCX. *International Journal of Management (IJM)*. 11(11), 2020, pp. 3996-4002.

<https://iaeme.com/Home/issue/IJM?Volume=11&Issue=11>

INTRODUCTION

The interconnection between macroeconomic variables and commodity pricing has been an interesting topic starting from a common man, economist, financial analyst and macroeconomist for a longer duration of time (Jaya & Gopinath, 2020). A few studies had been made to study the relationship between the macroeconomic variables and the stock market.

From the previous studies by Fama and Schwer (1977) and Jaffeand Mandelkar (1976), it had been evident that the macroeconomic variables play a key role in predicting the timeseries of stock returns.

Despite the previous studies, even though the commodity market had originated a way back, research studies relating to macroeconomic variables and commodity price is meagre with respect to developing countries (Pavithran *et al.*, 2018). Considering this, the subject of commodity markets in developing countries still need further analysis and deeper research heed. This study focusses on agricultural product, especially cpo, menthaoil, cotton and cardamom (Saminathan *et al.*, 2020a; Kavitha & Gopinath, 2020).

Table 1 commodities selected for the study and their respective period and spot market

| Sl.No | Commodity | Data Period | Spot Market |
|-------|------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1 | Cardamom | 1st April 2011 to 31st March 2021 | Vandanmedu– Kerala |
| 2 | Mentha Oil | 1st April 2011 to 31st March 2021 | Chandausi–UttarPradesh |
| 3 | Cotton | 1st April 2011 to 31st March 2021 | Rajkot – Gujarat |
| 4 | CPO | 1st April 2011 to 31st March 2021 | Kandla – Gujarat |

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Sampath (2011) studied the impact of macroeconomic variables on the stock prices in the post-liberalization period in India for the period of 07 years. Exchange rate, WPI, IIP are the macroeconomic variables selected for the study. ARDL approach is employed to estimate the long-run relationship between the variables. The study concludes that there is a positive relationship between stock price and economic growth.

Tripathi (2014) examines the long-term relationship between selected macroeconomic variables and different sectoral indices at NSE. Exchange rate, Crude oil prices, Foreign Institutional Investments, Current account balance and foreign exchange reserves are macroeconomic variables selected for the study. The period of study covers from April 2005 to March 2013. The study reveals that only Foreign Institutional Investments affects all sectoral indices whereas rest of macroeconomic variables selectively affect different sectoral indices in India.

Sarika Keswani & Bharti Wadhwa (2017) attempted to examine the impact of macroeconomic variables on stock market. The result concludes that the Market price, Inflation, IPP, CPI, Money supply, Treasury bill rate and GDP saving has positive relationship with stock price. Among the macroeconomic variables, National income has negative impact on stock price. Consumption, Oil prices, Exchange rate and Interest rate have no significant effect on Share price.

OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

1. To study the pattern of inflation rate, exchange rate and IIP in India between 2011 - 2021.
2. To study the impact of macroeconomic variables on selected agricultural commodities in India.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

In this study monthly data from 2011 to 2021 had been collected for the inflation rate, IIP and exchange rate from the RBI bulletin. The daily closing price of CPO, cotton, mentha oil and cardamom had been collected from MCX. Data has been analysed using econometric tools. Descriptive statistics, correlation, regression analysis and unit root test had been used in the study.

Initially, the variables are converted into logarithmic form to minimize the heteroskedasticity of the variables. If the data is nonstationary, the time series is subjected to spurious regression. to check the stationarity of the data, a unit root test is performed. If the data is found non-stationary, the series is converted to first difference to make it stationary.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 2 Descriptive Statistics of selected macroeconomic variable

| | N | Min. | Max. | Mean | Std. Deviation | Variance | Skewness | Kurtosis |
|----------------|-----|--------|--------|---------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|
| EXCHANGE RATE | 132 | 44.65 | 76.23 | 60.407 | 9.240 | 85.381 | -.395 | -.927 |
| INFLATION RATE | 132 | 3.33 | 11.99 | 6.850 | 2.908 | 8.455 | .456 | -1.175 |
| IIP | 132 | 114.70 | 176.90 | 149.873 | 22.821 | 520.796 | -.327 | -1.593 |

Descriptive statistics for the selected macroeconomic variables are calculated and the standard deviation of IIP (22.821) is found high among all the variables, which portrays nothing but that is dispersed around its mean value by 22.821. From the skewness measure, we deduced that the inflation rate is positively skewed, whereas the exchange rate and IIP are negatively skewed, implying a high risk. Simultaneously in kurtosis, all the variables are negatively skewed and hence platykurtic. Whereas the inflation rate is positively skewed.

Table 3 Correlation matrix of selected macroeconomic variables

| | Inflation rate | Exchange rate | IIP |
|----------------|----------------|---------------|--------|
| Inflation Rate | 1 | -0.724 | 0.052 |
| Exchange rate | -0.724 | 1 | -0.071 |
| IIP | 0.052 | -0.071 | 1 |

In the above table there is a positive correlation between theinflation rate – IIP and IIP – inflation rate. Similarly, there is a negative correlation between inflation rate – exchange rate, exchange rate – inflation rate, exchange rate – IIP and IIP – exchange rate. IIP – inflation rate is the only variable that is significant at a 0.05 level of significance.

Table 4 Regression equation with IIP as dependant variable :

| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | T | Sig. |
|------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|--------|-------|
| | B | Std. Error | Beta | | |
| (Constant) | 5.456 | 2.629 | | 2.075 | 0.04 |
| Cardamom | -0.002 | 0 | -0.359 | -4.285 | 0 |
| Mentha | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.095 | 0.996 | 0.322 |
| Cotton | -7.43E-06 | 0 | -0.007 | -0.082 | 0.935 |
| CPO | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.066 | 0.738 | 0.462 |

a. Dependent Variable: IIP

From the above table we can formulate the regression equation $Y = a + bx$ where in Y is the dependent variable (IIP) and X is the independent variables (cardamom, mentha oil, cotton and CPO). Hence, we arrive at the regression equation $IIP = 5.456 - 0.002 (\text{cardamom}) + 0.001 (\text{mentha oil}) - 7.43E-06 (\text{cotton}) + 0.002 (\text{CPO})$. By applying this regression equation to the entire entries, we find out the predicted values of IIP. We find that the predicted values in all the cases are nearer to the $\pm 1\%$ of the observed values from the year 2011 to 2021, which indicates that there is a significant impact of the independent variables on IIP.

Table 5 Regression equation with inflation rate as dependant variable

| Co-efficients ^a | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|--------|-------|
| Model | | Unstandardized Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. |
| | | B | Std. Error | Beta | | |
| | (Constant) | 8.511 | 1.902 | | 4.475 | 0 |
| | Cardamom | 0.001 | 0 | 0.183 | 4.148 | 0 |
| | Mentha oil | -0.001 | 0 | -0.152 | -3.037 | 0.003 |
| | Cotton | -9.18E-05 | 0 | -0.066 | -1.396 | 0.166 |
| | CPO | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.078 | 1.643 | 0.103 |

a. Dependent Variable: Inflation Rate

From the above table, we can formulate the regression equation $Y = a + bx$ where in Y is the dependent variable (inflation rate) and X is the independent variables (cardamom, mentha oil, cotton, CPO, nickel, lead, zinc, copper, gold, silver, and crude oil). Hence, we arrive at the regression equation $IIP = 8.511 + 0.001 (\text{cardamom}) - 0.001 (\text{mentha oil}) - 9.18E-05 (\text{cotton}) + 0.004 (\text{CPO})$. By applying this regression equation to the entire entries, we find out the predicted values of the inflation rate. we find that the predicted values in all the cases are nearer to the $\pm 1\%$ of the observed values from the year 2011 to 2021, which indicates that there is a significant impact of the independent variables on the inflation rate.

Table 6 Regression equation with exchange rate as dependant variable

| Co-Efficients ^a | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|--------|-------|
| Model | | Unstandardized Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. |
| | | B | Std. Error | Beta | | |
| 1 | (Constant) | 61.914 | 5.273 | | 11.742 | 0 |
| | cardamom | -0.002 | 0.001 | -0.057 | -1.543 | 0.126 |
| | mentha | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.059 | 1.313 | 0.192 |
| | cotton | -8.09E-05 | 0 | -0.022 | -0.524 | 0.601 |
| | CPO | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.039 | 0.896 | 0.372 |

a. Dependent Variable: Exchange rate

From the above table we can formulate the regression equation $Y = a + bx$ wherein Y is the dependent variable (exchange rate) and X is the independent variable (cardamom, mentha oil, cotton, CPO, nickel, lead, zinc, copper, gold, silver, and crude oil). Hence, we arrive at the regression equation $\text{exchange rate} = 61.914 - 0.002 (\text{cardamom}) + 0.001 (\text{mentha oil}) - 8.09E-05 (\text{cotton}) + 0.005 (\text{CPO})$. By applying this regression equation to the entire we find out the predicted values of the exchange rate. We find that the predicted values in all the cases are nearer to the $\pm 1\%$ of the observed values from the year 2011 to 2021, which indicates that there is a significant impact of the independent variables on exchange rate.

Table 7 Growth, Instability and Descriptive statistics of spot and future price of selected agricultural commodities in the commodity market

| | mentha oil | | cardamom | | Cotton | | CPO | |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------------|---------|
| | spot | future | spot | future | Spot | Future | spot | future |
| Mean | 1145.58 | 1145.08 | 1241.11 | 1247.40 | 18745.26 | 19135.84 | 548.92 | 545.65 |
| Std. error of mean | 1061.65 | 1062.33 | 1058.10 | 1064.71 | 19110.00 | 19541.34 | 534.00 | 535.52 |
| Std. deviation | 332.50 | 333.83 | 630.15 | 630.46 | 2184.70 | 2219.41 | 127.89 | 118.32 |
| Skewness | 1.07 | 1.06 | 2.28 | 2.28 | -0.10 | -0.32 | 1.99 | 1.71 |
| Std. error of skewness | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| Kurtosis | 1.74 | 1.71 | 5.86 | 5.88 | -1.16 | -1.21 | 5.66 | 4.52 |
| Std. error of kurtosis | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.45 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.42 |
| Minimum | 671.00 | 671.38 | 601.00 | 607.54 | 14360.00 | 14513.66 | 361.00 | 363.36 |
| Maximum | 2570.30 | 2572.14 | 3816.70 | 3825.72 | 22860.00 | 22819.95 | 1132.40 | 1078.74 |
| Coefficient of variation | 29.02% | 29.15% | 50.77% | 50.54% | 11.65% | 11.60% | 23.30% | 21.68% |
| CAGR | 1.94% | 1.93% | 0.54% | 0.49% | 1.31% | 1.00% | 8.59% | 11.95% |
| Contango / Backwardation | Backwardation | | Contango | | Contango | | Backwardation | |

From the above table, the coefficient of variation of selected agricultural commodities reveals that cardamom is more variable, whereas cotton is found to be less volatile among the selected commodities. The CAGR analysis of agricultural commodities showed that the CPO has the highest growth rate of around 11.95 %. The future price of cardamom and cotton is expected to be higher than the spot price from the contango analysis (Bhawiya Roopa & Gopinath,2020).

Table 8 Result of ADF Test for Commodity Futures and Macroeconomic Variables

| Name of the variable | Level | Test Statistics | First difference | Probability | Order of Autocorrelation |
|----------------------|-------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|--------------------------|
| D (Exchange rate) | 5% | -15.5498 | -0.000 | 8.919e-037 | First order |
| D (IIP) | 5% | -15.6113 | -0.000 | 5.931e-037 | First order |
| D (Inflation rate) | 5% | -15.5583 | -0.000 | 8.43e-037 | First order |
| D (Gold) | 5% | -11.2339 | 0.000 | 3.31e-023 | First order |
| D (Cardamom) | 5% | -9.19358 | 0.016 | 1.193e-016 | First order |
| D (Mentha oil) | 5% | -9.81198 | -0.036 | 1.327e-018 | First order |
| D (Cotton) | 5% | -10.7037 | 0.002 | 1.767e-021 | First order |
| D (CPO) | 5% | -10.1094 | 0.008 | 1.478e-019 | First order |

From the above table, the variables are stationary at first difference for the selected macroeconomic variables and agricultural commodities. To avoid spurious regression, unit root test is performed. at 5% significance level, all the variables are significant after doing the first difference.

Table 9 Result of stepwise regression of selected commodities and selected macroeconomic variables

| | Inflation rate | Exchange rate | IIP | R Square | DW | JB |
|----------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Cardamom | -.317** | -.488** | | 0.116*** | 1.77 | 3.86 |
| Mentha | - | | .353** | 0.125*** | 1.83 | 3.63 |
| cotton | - | .808** | .754** | 0.154*** | 2.16 | 1.48 |
| CPO | -.190* | | | 0.138*** | 1.64 | 2.69 |

In the above table, multivariate step wise regression is used to avoid the problem of multicollinearity. The analysis reveals that there is positive impact of IIP on menthaoil and cotton. Thus, the increase of 1% IIP, results the mentha oil future price to increase by 35.3% and 75 % increase in cotton future price. The inflation rate found to have negative impact on cardamom and CPO, which indicates the investment in these commodities is risky against inflation. The exchange rate has found to have negative impact on cardamom future price and positive impact on cotton future price. Thus, the increase in exchange rate by 1% results in 48% decrease in price of cardamom future price and 80% increase in price of cotton future price.

CONCLUSION

The study found that each and every macroeconomic variable plays a significant role in pricing a commodity. The volatility of agricultural commodities is correlated with macroeconomic variables (Saminathan *et al.*, 2020b). The study examines the impact of macroeconomic factors by stepwise regression and individually analysing each commodity. The information discussed in accordance with the Indian commodity market, reveals that the macroeconomic variables have high economic influence on the volatility of commodity futures in India (Kavitha & Gopinath, 2020a; Gopinath *et al.*, 2019). Moreover, the risk of macroeconomic variable is a vital factor for the volatility of commodity futures, especially for cotton considering IIP as a major factor. 1% increase of IIP will have the impact of 75% increase in price of cotton future market (Kavitha & Gopinath, 2020b; Jaya & Gopinath, 2020).

REFERENCES

- [1] Bhawiya Roopa, S., & Gopinath, R. (2020) Evaluation on satisfaction level of CSR activities in Banks of Tamil Nadu from customer's perspective- a study. *International Journal of Management*, 11(11), 2918-2929.
- [2] Chellasamy, P & Anu K M (2015). An Empirical Study on Commodity Derivatives Market in India, *International Journal of Economic and Business Review*, 3 (6), 117 -121.
- [3] Gopinath, R., &Poornapriya, T.S. (2020). Financial Effect on the left behind elderly Parents due to Migration, *International Journal of Management*, 11(11), 3129-3140.
- [4] Gopinath, R., Vasan, M. &Sumathy, M. (2019). Attitude of Individual Investors towards Commodity Trading in Disruptive Technological Era, *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology*, 8(6), 1720-1723.
- [5] Jeya, J., & Gopinath, R. (2020). Customer Service Techniques and National Insurance Company Efficiency, *International Journal of Management*, 11(12), 3776-3784.
- [6] K.Radha, Dr.J.Francis Mary (2020). Assessing the impact of inflation rate on cardamom price in India. *Journal of Xi'an University of Architecture & Technology*, 12 (3), 1787 -1794.
- [7] Kavitha, H., & Gopinath, R. (2020a). Role of Commercial Banks Financing and Explaining towards the Small-Scale Firms in Tamil Nadu: An Empirical Investigation of Entrepreneur's Perspectives, *International Journal of Management*,11(10), 2172-2182.

- [8] Kavitha, H., & Gopinath, R. (2020b). Effect of Service Quality on Satisfaction and Word-Of-Mouth: Small Scale Industries and their Commercial Banks in Tamil Nadu, *International Journal of Management*,11(11), 3034-3043.
- [9] Kavitha, J., & Gopinath, R. (2020). A Study on Perception of Internet Banking users Service Quality-A Structural Equation Modeling Perspective, *International Journal of Management*,11(8), 2204–2217.
- [10] Pavithran, A., Selvam, M., Gopinath, R., &Kathiravan, C. (2018). Effects of Adopting International Financial Reporting Standards: An Empirical Evidence from selected Indian Companies, *International Academic Journal of Accounting and Financial Management*, 5(4), 137-147.
- [11] Sajipriya, N. (2012). A Study on Market Efficiency of Selected Commodity Derivatives Traded on NCDEX During 2011. *International Journal of Advancements in Research & Technology*, 1 (5), 1 – 14.
- [12] Saminathan, R., Hemalatha, P., & Gopinath, R. (2020a). A Study on Performance Management in BMTC with special reference to Divisions, *International Journal of Advanced Research in Engineering and Technology*,11(10), 1966-1973.
- [13] Saminathan, R., Hemalatha, P., & Gopinath, R. (2020b). An Analysis of Income and Expenditure with special reference to BMTC, Bangalore, *International Journal of Management*,11(7), 1760-1768.
- [14] Sampath (2011) “Macroeconomic Variables and Stock Prices in India: An Empirical Analysis”, *The IUP Journal of Monetary Economics*, 9(4), 43 -55.
- [15] Sarika Keswani& Bharti Wadhwa (2017). Effect of Macroeconomic Variables on Stock Market: A Conceptual Study, *International Journal of Management, IT & Engineering*, 7 (10), 85 – 106.
- [16] Swati Jaiswal, Tripathi.L.K, Arpan Parashar (2014). “Impact of Macroeconomic variables on sectoral Indices sin India”, *Pacific Business Review Journal*, 6 (12), 83 -90.